arXiv:1606.05810v2 [math.AG] 17 Aug 2016

THE SLICE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR SINGULAR SCHEMES AND APPLICATIONS

AMALENDU KRISHNA AND PABLO PELAEZ

Abstract. We examine the slice spectral sequence for the cohomology of singular schemes with respect to various motivic T-spectra, especially the motivic cobordism spectrum. When the base field k admits resolution of singularities and X is a scheme of finite type over k, we show that Voevodsky's slice filtration leads to a spectral sequence for MGL_X whose terms are the motivic cohomology groups of X defined using the *cdh*-hypercohomology. As a consequence, we establish an isomorphism between certain geometric parts of the motivic cobordism and motivic cohomology of X.

A similar spectral sequence for the connective K-theory leads to a cycle class map from the motivic cohomology to the homotopy invariant K-theory of X. We show that this cycle class map is injective for projective schemes. We also deduce applications to the torsion in the motivic cohomology of singular schemes. These allow us to improve the Roitman torsion theorems of Speiß-Szamuely and Geisser.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. A descent theorem for motivic spectra 3
3. Motivic cohomology of singular schemes 9
4. Representability in positive characteristic 12
5. Slice spectral sequence for singular schemes 17
6. Applications I: Comparing cobordism, K-theory and cohomology 23
7. The Chern classes on KH-theory 26
8. Applications II: Intermediate Jacobian and Abel-Jacobi map for singular schemes 32
9. Applications III: Roitman torsion and cycle class map 36
10. The motivic cohomology of normal schemes and a theorem of Spieß-Szamuely 41
References 43

1. Introduction

The motivic homotopy theory of schemes was put on a firm foundation by Voevodsky and his coauthors beginning with the work of Morel and Voevodsky [54] and its stable counterpart [71]. It was observed by Voevodsky [73] that the motivic T-spectra in the stable homotopy category $\mathcal{SH}_X$ over a noetherian scheme X of finite Krull dimension can be understood via their slice filtration. This slice filtration leads to spectral sequences which then become a very powerful tool in computing various cohomology theories for smooth schemes over X.

The main problem in the study of the slice filtration lies in the identification of its slices for a given motivic T-spectrum. When k is a field which admits resolution of singularities, the slices for many of these motivic T-spectra in $\mathcal{SH}_k$ are now known. In particular, we

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25, 14C35, 14F42, 19E08, 19E15.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic Cobordism, Milnor K-theory, Motivic Homotopy Theory, Motivic Spectral Sequence, K-theory, Slice Filtration, Singular Schemes.

can compute these generalized cohomology groups of smooth schemes over k using the slice spectral sequence.

In this paper, we study some descent property of the motivic T-spectra in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ when X is possibly a singular scheme of finite type over k. This descent property tells us that the cohomology groups of a scheme $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$, associated to a certain class of motivic T-spectra in $\mathcal{SH}_X$, can be computed using only $\mathcal{SH}_k$. We focus our attention to the class of T-spectra which consists of those objects E_X in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ known as *absolute* T-spectra (see [19, §1.2]). These are sections of a 2-functor from $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ to the category of triangulated categories having the property that the canonical map $g^*(E_X) \to E_Y$ is an isomorphism for any map $g : Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$.

Even though our methods apply to these absolute T-spectra, we restrict our study to the motivic cobordism spectrum MGL_X. We show using the above descent property of motivic spectra that MGL_X can be computed using the motivic cohomology groups of X. Recall from [24, Defs. 4.3, 9.2] that the motivic cohomology groups of X are defined to be the *cdh*-hypercohomology groups $H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = \mathbb{H}^{p-2q}_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^q_k, 0)_{cdh})$. Using this motivic cohomology groups, we show:

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a field which admits resolution of singularities and let X be a separated scheme of finite type over k. Then for any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^{p-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^q \Rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{p+q,n}(X) \tag{1.2}$$

and the differentials of this spectral sequence are given by $d_r : E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$. Furthermore, this spectral sequence degenerates with rational coefficients.

If k is a perfect field of positive characteristic p, we obtain a similar spectral sequence after inverting p except that we can not guarantee strong convergence unless X is smooth over k (see 5.18).

As a consequence of 1.1 and its positive characteristic version, we get the following relation between the motivic cobordism and cohomology of singular schemes.

Theorem 1.3. *Let k be a field which admits resolution of singularities (resp. a perfect field of positive characteristic p). Then for any separated (resp. smooth) scheme X of finite type over k and dimension d and every $i \geq 0$, the edge map in the spectral sequence* (1.2)*:*

$$\nu_X : \mathrm{MGL}^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \to H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i))$$
$$\textit{resp. } \nu_X : \mathrm{MGL}^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \to H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]$$

is an isomorphism.

We apply our descent result to obtain a similar spectral sequence for the connective KH-theory, KGL^0 (see §6). We use this spectral sequence and the canonical map $CKH(-) \to KH(-)$ from the connective KH-theory to obtain the following cycle class map from the motivic cohomology of a singular scheme to its homotopy invariant K-theory.

Theorem 1.4. *Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p and let X be a separated scheme of dimension d which is of finite type over k. Then the map $\mathrm{KGL}^0_X \to s_0 \mathrm{KGL}_X \cong H\mathbb{Z}$ induces for every integer $i \geq 0$, an isomorphism*

$$CKH^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

In particular, there is a natural cycle class map

$$cyc_i : H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \to KH_i(X) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

We use this cycle class map and the Chern class maps from the homotopy invariant K-theory to the Deligne cohomology of schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ to construct intermediate Jacobians and Abel-Jacobi maps for the motivic cohomology of singular schemes over $\mathbb{C}$. More precisely, we prove the following. This generalizes intermediate Jacobians and Abel-Jacobi maps of Griffiths and the torsion theorem of Roitman for smooth schemes.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension d. Then, there is a semi-abelian variety $J^d(X)$ and an Abel-Jacobi map $\mathrm{AJ}^d_X : H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))_{\deg 0} \to J^d(X)$ which is surjective and whose restriction to the torsion subgroups is an isomorphism.*

Using this, we prove the following property of the cycle class map of 1.4. This generalizes the analogous result for smooth projective schemes by Levine [47].

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a projective scheme of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the cycle class map $cyc_0 : H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to KH_0(X)$ is injective.*

Our final result is the following improved version of the Roitman torsion theorems of Speiß-Szamuely [66] and Geisser [26].

Theorem 1.7. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let U be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension d over k. Let $J^d(U)$ denote the Albanese variety of U. Assume that there is a normal projective scheme X over k containing U as a dense open subset such that $\dim(X \setminus U) \leq d-2$. Then the Albanese map*

$$\mathrm{AJ}^d_U : H_0^{Sus}(U)_{\deg\ 0} \to J^d(U)$$

is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.

We end this section with the comment that our motivation behind this work was to exploit powerful tools of the motivic homotopy theory to study several questions about the motivic cohomology and K-theory of singular schemes, which were previously known only for smooth schemes. We hope that the methods and the techniques of our proofs can be advanced further to answer many other cohomological questions about singular schemes.

2. A descent theorem for motivic spectra

In this section, we set up our notations, discuss various model structures we use in our proofs and show the Quillen adjunction property of many functors among these model structures. The main objective of this section is to prove a *cdh*-descent property of the motivic T-spectra, see 2.22.

2.1. **Notations and preliminary results.** Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p (in some instances we will require that the field k admits resolution of singularities [74, Def. 4.1]). We will write $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ for the category of separated schemes of finite type over k and $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ consisting of smooth schemes over k. If $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, let $\mathbf{Sm}_X$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ consisting of smooth schemes over X. We will write $(\mathbf{Sm}_k)_{Nis}$ (resp. $(\mathbf{Sm}_X)_{Nis}$, $(\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh}$) for $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ equipped with the Nisnevich topology (resp. $\mathbf{Sm}_X$ equipped with the Nisnevich topology, $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ equipped with the *cdh*-topology). The product $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} Y$ will be denoted by $X \times Y$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$) be the category of pointed simplicial presheaves on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sm}_X$, $\mathbf{Sch}_k$) equipped with the motivic model structure described in [37] considering the Nisnevich topology on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ (resp. Nisnevich topology on $\mathbf{Sm}_X$, *cdh*-topology on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$) and the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_k$ as an interval. A simplicial presheaf will often be called a *motivic space*.

We define T in $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$) as the pointed simplicial presheaf represented by $S^1_s \wedge S^1_t$, where S^1_t is $\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}^1_X \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0\}$) pointed by 1, and S^1_s denotes the simplicial circle. Given an arbitrary integer $r \geq 1$, let S^r_s (resp. S^r_t) denote the iterated smash

product of S^1_s (resp. S^1_t) with r-factors: $S^1_s \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1_s$ (resp. $S^1_t \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1_t$); $S^0_s = S^0_t$ will be by definition equal to the pointed simplicial presheaf represented by the base scheme $\operatorname{Spec} k$ (resp. X, $\operatorname{Spec} k$).

Since T is cofibrant in $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$) we can apply freely the results in [33, §8]. Let $Spt(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$, $Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$) denote the category of symmetric T-spectra on $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$) equipped with the motivic model structure defined in [33, 8.7]. We will write $\mathcal{SH}$ (resp. $\mathcal{SH}_X$, $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$) for the homotopy category of $Spt(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$, $Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$) which is a tensor triangulated category. For any two integers m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Sigma^{m,n}$ denote the automorphism $\Sigma^{m-n}_s \circ \Sigma^n_t : \mathcal{SH} \to \mathcal{SH}$ (this also makes sense in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ and $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$). We will write Σ^n_T for $\Sigma^{2n,n}$, and $E \wedge F$ for the smash product of E, $F \in \mathcal{SH}$ (resp. $\mathcal{SH}_X$, $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$).

Given a simplicial presheaf A, we will write A_+ for the pointed simplicial presheaf obtained by adding a disjoint base point (isomorphic to the base scheme) to A. For any $B \in \mathcal{M}$, let $\Sigma^\infty_T(B)$ denote the object $(B, T \wedge B, \cdots) \in Spt(\mathcal{M})$. This functor makes sense for objects in $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$ and $\mathcal{M}_X$ as well.

If $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a functor with right adjoint $G : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$, we shall say that $(F, G) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is an adjunction. We shall freely use the language of model and triangulated categories. We will write Σ^1 for the suspension functor in a triangulated category, and for $n \geq 0$ (resp. $n < 0$), Σ^n will be the suspension (resp. desuspension) functor iterated n (resp. $-n$) times.

We will use the following notation in all the categories under consideration: $*$ will denote the terminal object, and $\cong$ will denote that a map (resp. functor) is an isomorphism (resp. equivalence of categories).

2.2. **Change of site.** Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and let $v : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ denote the structure map. We will write Pre_X (resp. $\widetilde{Pre_k}$) for the category of pointed simplicial presheaves on $\mathbf{Sm}_X$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sch}_k$). If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$ where k is the base field, we will write Pre_k instead of Pre_X. These categories are equipped with the objectwise flasque model structure [37, §3]. To recall this model structure, we consider a finite set of monomorphisms $V_I = \{V_i \to U\}_{i \in I}$ for any $U \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$. The categorical union $\underset{i \in I}{\cup} V_i$ is the coequalizer of the diagram

$$\coprod_{i,j \in I} V_i \underset{U}{\times} V_j \rightrightarrows \coprod_{i \in I} V_i$$

formed in $\mathbf{Sm}_X$. We denote by i_I the induced monomorphism $\underset{i \in I}{\cup} V_i \to U$. Note that $\emptyset \to U$ arises in this way. The push-out product of maps of i_I and a map between simplicial sets exists in Pre_X. In particular, we are entitled to form the sets

$$I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X) = \{i_I \,\square\, (\partial\Delta^n \subset \Delta^n)_+\}_{I, n \geq 0}$$

and

$$J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X) = \{i_I \,\square\, (\Lambda^n_i \subset \Delta^n)_+\}_{I, n \geq 0, 0 \leq i \leq n}.$$

A map between simplicial presheaves is called a closed objectwise fibration if it has the right lifting property with respect to $J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)$. A map $u : E \to F$ between simplicial presheaves is called a weak equivalence if $E(U) \to F(U)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for each $U \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$. A closed objectwise cofibration is a map having the left lifting property with respect to every trivial closed objectwise fibration. Note that this notion of weak equivalence, cofibrations and fibrations makes sense for simplicial presheaves in any category with finite products (e.g., $\mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\mathbf{Sch}_k$). It follows from [37, Thm. 3.7] that the above notion of weak equivalence, cofibrations and fibration forms a proper, simplicial and cellular model category structure on Pre_k, Pre_X and $\widetilde{Pre_k}$. We call this the *objectwise flasque model structure*. Our reason for choosing this model structure is the following result.

Lemma 2.3. ([37, Lem. 6.2]) *If $V \to U$ is a monomorphism in $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sm}_X$, $\mathbf{Sch}_k$), then U_+/V_+ is cofibrant in the flasque model structure on Pre_k (resp. Pre_X, $\widetilde{Pre}_k$). In particular, $T^n \wedge U_+$ is cofibrant for any $n \geq 0$.*

It is clear that Pre_X (resp. $\widetilde{Pre}_k$) is a cofibrantly generated model category with generating cofibrations $I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)$ (resp. $I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$) and generating trivial cofibrations $J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)$ (resp. $J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$).

Let $\pi : (\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh} \to (\mathbf{Sm}_k)_{Nis}$ be the continuous map of sites considered by Voevodsky in [74, §4]. We will write $(\pi^*, \pi_*) : Pre_k \to \widetilde{Pre}_k$, $(v^*, v_*) : Pre_k \to Pre_X$ for the adjunctions induced by π, v respectively.

We will also consider the morphism of sites $\pi_X : (\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh} \to (\mathbf{Sm}_X)_{Nis}$ and the corresponding adjunction $(\pi_X^*, \pi_{X*}) : Pre_X \to \widetilde{Pre}_k$. These adjunctions are related by the following.

Lemma 2.4. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Pre_k & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \widetilde{Pre}_k \\ & \searrow^{v^*} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{X*}} \\ & & Pre_X. \end{array}$$

Proof. We first notice that for every simplicial set K, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and $Z \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$, one has

$$\pi^*(K \otimes Y_+) = K \otimes Y_+ \in \widetilde{Pre}_k; \quad v^*(K \otimes Y_+) = K \otimes (Y \times X)_+ \in Pre_X \text{ and } \pi_X^*(K \otimes Z_+) = K \otimes Z_+ \in \widetilde{Pre}_k. \tag{2.5}$$

We observe that π^*, v^* commute with colimits since they are left adjoint; and that π_{X*} also commutes with colimits since it is a restriction functor. Hence, it suffices to show that for every simplicial set K and every $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\pi_{X*}(\pi^*(K \otimes Y_+)) = v^*(K \otimes Y_+)$. Finally, a direct computation shows that $\pi_{X*}(K \otimes Y_+) = K \otimes (Y \times X)_+ \in Pre_X$ and we conclude by (2.5). □

Lemma 2.6. *The adjunctions $(\pi^*, \pi_*) : Pre_k \to \widetilde{Pre}_k$, $(v^*, v_*) : Pre_k \to Pre_X$ and $(\pi_X^*, \pi_{X*}) : Pre_X \to \widetilde{Pre}_k$ are all Quillen adjunctions. Moreover, π_{X*} and π_* preserve weak equivalences.*

Proof. We have seen above that all the three model categories (with the objectwise flasque model structure) are cofibrantly generated. Moreover, it follows from (2.5) that $\pi^*(I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_k)) \subseteq I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$, $\pi^*(J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_k)) \subseteq J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$; $v^*(I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_k)) \subseteq J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)$, $v^*(J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_k)) \subseteq J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)$ and $\pi_X^*(I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)) \subseteq I^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$, $\pi_X^*(J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sm}_X)) \subseteq J^{\mathrm{sch}}_{\mathrm{clo}}(\mathbf{Sch}_k)$. Hence, it follows from [32, Lem. 2.1.20] that (π^*, π_*), (v^*, v_*) and (π_X^*, π_{X*}) are Quillen adjunctions. The second part of the lemma is an immediate consequence of the observation that π_{X*} and π_* are restriction functors and the weak equivalences in the objectwise flasque model structure are defined schemewise. □

To show that the Quillen adjunction of 2.6 extends to the level of motivic model structures, we consider a distinguished square α (see [74, §2]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

in $(\mathbf{Sm}_k)_{Nis}$ (resp. $(\mathbf{Sm}_X)_{Nis}$, $(\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh}$). We will write $P(\alpha)$ for the pushout of $Z \leftarrow Z' \to Y'$ in Pre_k (resp. Pre_X, $\widetilde{Pre}_k$).

The motivic model category $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$) is the left Bousfield localization of Pre_k (resp. Pre_X, $\widetilde{Pre_k}$) with respect to the following two sets of maps:

- $P(\alpha) \to Y$ indexed by the distinguished squares in $(\mathbf{Sm}_k)_{Nis}$ (resp. $(\mathbf{Sm}_X)_{Nis}$, $(\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh}$),
- $p_Y : Y \times \mathbb{A}^1_k \to Y$ for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ (resp. $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$).

Notice that since we are working with the flasque model structures, by [37, Thms. 4.8-4.9] it is possible to consider maps from the ordinary pushout $P(\alpha)$ instead of maps from the homotopy pushout of the diagram $Z \leftarrow Z' \to Y'$ (2.7).

Remark 2.8. We will also consider the Nisnevich (resp. *cdh*) local model structure, i.e. the left Bousfield localization of Pre_k (resp. $\widetilde{Pre_k}$) with respect to the set of maps: $P(\alpha) \to Y$ indexed by the distinguished squares in $(\mathbf{Sm}_k)_{Nis}$ (resp. $(\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh}$).

We will abuse notation and write $(\pi^*, \pi_*) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{cdh}$, $(v^*, v_*) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_X$, $(\pi_X^*, \pi_{X*}) : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_{cdh}$ for the adjunctions induced by π, v and π_X, respectively.

Proposition 2.9. *The adjunctions $(\pi^*, \pi_*) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{cdh}$, $(v^*, v_*) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_X$, $(\pi_X^*, \pi_{X*}) : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_{cdh}$ are Quillen adjunctions.*

Proof. We will give the argument for (π^*, π_*), since the other cases are parallel. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Pre_k & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \widetilde{Pre_k} \\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \mathcal{M}_{cdh}, \end{array}$$

where the solid arrows are left Quillen functors by [31, Lem. 3.3.4(1)] and Lemma 2.6. Thus, it follows from [31, Def. 3.1.1(1)(b), Thm. 3.3.19] that it suffices to check that $\pi^*(P(\alpha) \to Y)$ and $\pi^*(Y \times \mathbb{A}^1_k \to Y)$ are weak equivalences in $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$.

On the one hand, it is immediate that $\pi^*(Y \times \mathbb{A}^1_k \to Y) = (Y \times \mathbb{A}^1_k \to Y) \in \mathcal{M}_{cdh}$; hence a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$. On the other hand, π^* commutes with pushouts since it is a left adjoint functor. It follows therefore from (2.5) that $\pi^*(P(\alpha) \to Y) = (P(\alpha) \to Y) \in \mathcal{M}_{cdh}$, hence a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$. □

We will write $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_X$, $\mathcal{H}_{cdh}$) for the homotopy category of $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$) and $(\mathbf{L}\pi^*, \mathbf{R}\pi_*) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_{cdh}$, $(\mathbf{L}v^*, \mathbf{R}v_*) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_X$, $(\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*, \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*}) : \mathcal{H}_X \to \mathcal{H}_{cdh}$ for the derived adjunctions of the Quillen adjunctions in 2.9 (see [31, Thm. 3.3.20]).

2.10. **A *cdh*-descent for motivic spectra.** It follows from (2.5) that the adjunctions between the categories of motivic spaces induce levelwise adjunctions $(\pi^*, \pi_*) : Spt(\mathcal{M}) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$, $(v^*, v_*) : Spt(\mathcal{M}) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$, $(\pi_X^*, \pi_{X*}) : Spt(\mathcal{M}_X) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$ between the corresponding categories of symmetric T-spectra such that the following diagram commutes (see (2.4)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Spt(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh}) \\ & {\scriptstyle v^*}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{X*}} \\ & & Spt(\mathcal{M}_X). \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

We further conclude from 2.9 and [33, Thm. 9.3] the following:

Proposition 2.12. *The pairs*

(1) $(\pi^*, \pi_*) : Spt(\mathcal{M}) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$,
(2) $(v^*, v_*) : Spt(\mathcal{M}) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ *and*

(3) $(\pi_X^*, \pi_{X*}) : Spt(\mathcal{M}_X) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$
are Quillen adjunctions between stable model categories.

We deduce from 2.12 that there are pairs of adjoint functors $(\mathbf{L}\pi^*, \mathbf{R}\pi_*) : \mathcal{SH} \to \mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$, $(\mathbf{L}v^*, \mathbf{R}v_*) : \mathcal{SH} \to \mathcal{SH}_X$ and $(\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*, \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*}) : \mathcal{SH}_X \to \mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$ between the various stable homotopy categories of motivic T-spectra. We observe that for $a \geq b$, the suspension functor $\Sigma^{a,b}$ in $\mathcal{SH}$ (resp. $\mathcal{SH}_X$, $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$) is the derived functor of the left Quillen functor $E \mapsto S_s^{a-b} \wedge S_t^b \wedge E$ in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$, $Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$). Since the functors π^*, v^*, π_X^* are simplicial and symmetric monoidal, we deduce that they commute with the suspension functors $\Sigma^{m,n}$, i.e. for every m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\mathbf{L}\pi^* \circ \Sigma^{m,n}(-) \cong \Sigma^{m,n} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi^*(-)$, $\mathbf{L}v^* \circ \Sigma^{m,n}(-) \cong \Sigma^{m,n} \circ \mathbf{L}v^*(-)$ and $\mathbf{L}\pi_X^* \circ \Sigma^{m,n}(-) \cong \Sigma^{m,n} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi_X^*(-)$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $f : Y \to X$ be a smooth morphism in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. Let $v : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ be the structure map and $u = v \circ f$. Given any $E \in \mathcal{SH}$, the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}v^*E) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}u^*E)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The functor $\mathbf{L}f^* : \mathcal{SH}_X \to \mathcal{SH}_Y$ admits a left adjoint $\mathbf{L}f_\sharp : \mathcal{SH}_Y \to \mathcal{SH}_X$ by [3, Prop. 4.5.19] (see also [2, Scholium 1.4.2]). Since $f : Y \to X$ is smooth, we have $\mathbf{L}f_\sharp(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+) = \Sigma_T^\infty Y_+$ by [54, Prop. 3.1.23(1)] and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}v^*E) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\mathbf{L}f_\sharp(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+), \mathbf{L}v^*E) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}f^* \circ \mathbf{L}v^*E) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}u^*E) \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. □

Proposition 2.14. *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities and let $v : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ be in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then the functor $\mathbf{L}v^*$ is naturally equivalent to the composition $\mathbf{R}\pi_{X*} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi^*$.*

Proof. Let E be a motivic T-spectrum in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that E is fibrant and cofibrant in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$. Let $\nu : \pi^*E \to E'$ be a functorial fibrant replacement of π^*E in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$. This yields maps

$$\mathbf{L}v^*(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} v^*(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{X*} \circ \pi^*(E) \to \pi_{X*}(E') \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*} \circ \pi^*(E) \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi^*(E). \tag{2.15}$$

Let $\phi : \mathbf{L}v^*(E) \to \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi^*(E)$ denote the composite map in $\mathcal{SH}_X$.

To show that ϕ is an isomorphism, it suffices to prove that the induced map

$$\phi^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}v^*(E)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi^*(E)) \tag{2.16}$$

is an isomorphism for all $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$.

Since $\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+ \cong \pi_X^*\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+ \cong \Sigma_T^\infty Y_+$, it follows from 2.12 that the right hand side of (2.16) is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(E))$. Combining this isomorphism with 2.13, we are reduced to showing that the map

$$\phi^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \mathbf{L}v^*(E)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(E)) \tag{2.17}$$

is an isomorphism.

To prove this, we shall use the following notations. For any $G \in \mathcal{SH}$ and $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, we shall write $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \mathbf{L}v^*(G))$ as $M(X, G)$. We shall write $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(G))$ as $N(X, G)$. We shall apply these notations when $G = \Sigma^{m,n}E$ for integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us first assume that $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$. In this case, the left hand side of (2.17) is same as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E)$ by 2.13. On the other hand, the right hand side is same as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, E)$ by [74, Thm. 4.2] (note that $\pi^*(X) = X$). The desired isomorphism thus follows.

In general, we assume by the induction on $\dim(X)$ that (2.17) holds for all $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ with $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$. Note that if $\dim(X) = 0$, we can use [13, Cor. 3.3] to assume that X is reduced and hence smooth over k.

Our assumption implies that we can find an abstract blow-up square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle p'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ Z & \xrightarrow[i]{} & X \end{array} \tag{2.18}$$

such that $X' \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a nowhere dense closed subscheme.

It follows immediately that there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to N(X,E) \to N(X',E) \oplus N(Z,E) \to N(Z',E) \to \cdots . \tag{2.19}$$

Next, if we let $r = p \circ i' = i \circ p'$, it follows from [13, Prop. 3.7] that there is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ of the form

$$\mathbf{L}v^*(E) \to \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \mathbf{L}p^* \circ \mathbf{L}v^*(E) \oplus \mathbf{R}i_* \circ \mathbf{L}i^* \circ \mathbf{L}v^*(E) \to \mathbf{R}r_* \circ \mathbf{L}r^* \circ \mathbf{L}v^*(E).$$

If we let $v_Z : Z \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ denote the structure map for any $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ (so that $v = v_X$), this is equivalent to a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{L}v_X^*(E) \to \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \mathbf{L}v_{X'}^*(E) \oplus \mathbf{R}i_* \circ \mathbf{L}v_Z^*(E) \to \mathbf{R}r_* \circ \mathbf{L}v_{Z'}^*(E).$$

Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, -)$ to this distinguished triangle and using adjointness associated to various arrows in (2.18), we get long exact exact sequence

$$\cdots \to M(X,E) \to M(X',E) \oplus M(Z,E) \to M(Z',E) \to \cdots . \tag{2.20}$$

If we compare (2.19) and (2.20) via the maps ϕ^*, we get a commutative diagram of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & M(X,E) & \longrightarrow & M(X',E) \oplus M(Z,E) & \longrightarrow & M(Z',E) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^*} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & N(X,E) & \longrightarrow & N(X',E) \oplus N(Z,E) & \longrightarrow & N(Z',E) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array} \tag{2.21}$$

Using the case of smooth schemes shown above and the induction on dimension, we see that the second and the third vertical arrows are isomorphisms when we replace E by $\Sigma^{m,n}E$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism as well. This proves (2.17) and completes the proof of the proposition. □

Theorem 2.22. *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities and let $v : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ be in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. Given a motivic T-spectrum $E \in \mathcal{SH}$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$ and integers m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*E).$$

Proof. By 2.14, $\mathbf{L}v^*(-) \cong (R\pi_{X*} \circ \mathbf{L}\pi^*)(-)$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. Thus, by adjointness:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*E) & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}v^*(\Sigma^{m,n}E)) \\ & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(\Sigma^{m,n}E)) \\ & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*E). \end{array}$$

Finally, it follows from 2.3 that $\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+$ is cofibrant in the levelwise flasque model structure and hence in any of its localizations. In particular, it is cofibrant in the stable model structure of motivic T-spectra. We conclude that $\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+ \cong \pi_X^*\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+ \cong \Sigma_T^\infty Y_+$. The corollary now follows. □

Remark 2.23. The above result could be called a *cdh*-descent theorem because it implies *cdh*-descent for many motivic spectra. In particular, it implies *cdh*-descent for absolute motivic spectra (e.g., KGL and MGL). Recall from [19, §1.2] that an absolute motivic spectrum E is a section of a 2-functor from $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ to triangulated categories such that for any $f : X' \to X$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, the canonical map $f^*E_X \to E_{X'}$ is an isomorphism.

A combination of 2.13 and 2.22 yields:

Corollary 2.24. *Under the same hypotheses and notation of 2.22, assume in addition that $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$. Then there are natural isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*E).$$

3. Motivic cohomology of singular schemes

We continue to assume that k is a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. In this section, we show that the motivic cohomology of a scheme $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, defined in terms of a *cdh*-hypercohomology (see 3.1), is representable in stable homotopy category $\mathcal{SH}_X$. We prove a stronger version when k admits resolution of singularities.

Recall from [51, Lecture 16] that given $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and an integer $r \geq 0$, the presheaf $z_{equi}(T, r)$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ is defined by letting $z_{equi}(T, r)(U)$ be the free abelian group generated by the closed and irreducible subschemes $Z \subsetneq U \times T$ which are dominant and equidimensional of relative dimension r (any fiber is either empty or all its components have dimension r) over a component of U. It is known that $z_{equi}(T, r)$ is a sheaf on the big étale site of $\mathbf{Sm}_k$.

Let $C_* z_{equi}(T, r)$ denote the chain complex of presheaves of abelian groups associated via the Dold-Kan correspondence to the simplicial presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ given by $C_n z_{equi}(T, r)(U) = z_{equi}(T, r)(U \times \Delta^n_k)$. The simplicial structure on $C_* z_{equi}(T, r)$ is induced by the cosimplicial scheme $\Delta^\bullet_k$. Recall the following definition of motivic cohomology of singular schemes from [24, Def. 9.2].

Definition 3.1. The motivic cohomology groups of $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ are defined as the hypercohomology

$$\begin{aligned} H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) &= \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0))) \\ &\cong \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}). \end{aligned}$$

We will also need to consider $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-coefficients. In this case, we will write:

$$H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)) = \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)[\tfrac{1}{p}])).$$

For $n < 0$, we set $H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}](n)) = 0$.

3.2. **The motivic cohomology spectrum.** In order to represent the motivic cohomology of a singular scheme X in $\mathcal{SH}_X$, let us recall the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum

$$H\mathbb{Z} = (K(0,0), K(1,2), \cdots, K(n, 2n), \cdots)$$

in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$, where $K(n, 2n)$ is the presheaf of simplicial abelian groups on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ associated to the presheaf of chain complexes $C_*(\frac{z_{equi}(\mathbb{P}^n_k, 0)}{z_{equi}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_k, 0)})$ via the Dold-Kan correspondence. The assembly maps of this spectrum are induced by the canonical map $g : \mathbb{P}^1_k \to C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{P}^1_k, 0)$. This map assigns to any map $U \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ its graph in $U \times \mathbb{P}^1_k$. This in turn descends to maps $T \wedge K(n, 2n) \xrightarrow{\overline{g} \wedge \mathrm{Id}} K(1, 2) \wedge K(n, 2n) \xrightarrow{\times} K(n+1, 2n+2)$, where the latter is the obvious external product map. We shall not distinguish between a simplicial abelian group and associated chain complex of abelian groups from now on in this text and will use them interchangeably.

Recall that for each integer $n \geq 0$, there is an evaluation functor $Ev_n : Spt(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{M}$ with $Ev_n(A) = A_n$ and this functor has a left adjoint $F_n : \mathcal{M} \to Spt(\mathcal{M})$, where $F_n(B)$ is a T-spectrum given by:

$$(F_n(B))_m = \begin{cases} T^{m-n} \wedge B & \text{if } m \geq n \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

These functors clearly extend to the level of $\mathcal{M}_X$ and $\mathcal{M}_{cdh}$ in an obvious way.

Lemma 3.4. *The pair $(F_n, Ev_n) : \mathcal{M}_{cdh} \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$ is a Quillen adjunction. In particular, there is a derived adjunction $(\mathbf{L}F_n, \mathbf{R}Ev_n) : \mathcal{H}_{cdh} \to \mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that F_n converts a flasque cofibration into a levelwise cofibration and an $\mathbb{A}^1$-equivalence into a stable equivalence. Let $i : B \to B'$ be a flasque cofibration of simplicial presheaves. It follows from 2.3 that T^n is flasque cofibrant. In particular, it follows from [37, Cor. 3.15] that for any flasque fibration $f : F \to G$, the map of internal homs $\mathcal{H}om_*(T^n, F) \to \mathcal{H}om_*(T^n, G)$ is a flasque fibration. Moreover, this map is a weak equivalence if i or f is so. But this implies that $T^n \wedge A \to T^n \wedge B$ is a flasque cofibration. Since the functor $T^n \wedge (-)$ clearly preserves weak equivalence (see, for instance [54, Lem. 3.2.13]), we have proven that $F_n(B) \to F_n(B')$ is a levelwise cofibration and is a levelwise weak equivalence if $B \to B'$ is. Since levelwise weak equivalence implies stable equivalence, the lemma follows. □

It is easy to see from (3.3) that $\mathbf{L}F_n(B) = \Sigma_T^{-n} \circ \Sigma_T^{\infty} B$ for $B \in \mathcal{H}_{cdh}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_X$).

3.5. **Motivic cohomology via $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$.** Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be a given scheme. Let us fix two integers m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \geq 0$ and $2n - m = a - b$ for some a, $b > 0$. We observe that the argument for [20, Thm. 2, p. 361] works as well for the *cdh*-topology.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{loc.\ nis}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{loc.\ cdh}$) denote the homotopy category of simplicial presheaves on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sch}_k$) for the Nisnevich (resp. *cdh*) local model structure (2.8). It follows from [12, Prop. 2, p. 16] and [20, Thm. 2, p. 361] that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{loc.\ cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n)))$. Composing this with the natural $\mathbb{A}^1$-localization map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{loc.\ cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n))) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n)))$, we get a natural map $\mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n)))$ which is also an isomorphism by [20, Thm. 2, p. 361].

On the other hand, it follows from [51, Thm. 16.8] that there is a Nisnevich local weak equivalence $K(n, 2n) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$, in particular they are isomorphic in $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, we deduce from 2.9 that $\mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{L}\pi^*(C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0))$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{H}_{cdh}$. Hence by [20, Thm. 2 p. 361], we conclude that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\lambda_X : \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n))). \tag{3.6}$$

We thus get a sequence of maps, natural in X:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) &\xrightarrow{\cong \lambda_X} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{L}\pi^*(K(n, 2n))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\cong\ 1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge Ev_n \mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z}) \\
&\to^{2} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}}(S^a_s \wedge X_+, S^b_s \wedge \mathbf{R}Ev_n(\mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z})) \\
&\xrightarrow{\cong\ 3} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\mathbf{L}F_n(S^{2n-m}_s \wedge X_+), \mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^{-n}\Sigma_T^{\infty}(S^{2n-m}_s \wedge X_+), \mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^{\infty}(S^{2n-m}_s \wedge X_+), \Sigma_T^n \mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma_s^{m-2n}\Sigma_T^n \mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma_T^{\infty} X_+, \Sigma^{m,n} \mathbf{L}\pi^* H\mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The map (1) is induced by 3.8, (2) is induced by applying the evaluation functor to the functorial fibrant replacement map $\pi^*H\mathbb{Z} \to \widetilde{\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}}$ and (3) is induced by 3.4.

We will say that a map $f : E \to F$ in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$ is a levelwise motivic weak equivalence (resp. levelwise cofibration) if $Ev_n(f)$ is a weak equivalence (resp. cofibration) in $\mathcal{M}$ for $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.8. *The map $\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z} \to \pi^*H\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$.*

Proof. Consider a cofibrant replacement of $H\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., a trivial fibration $c : QH\mathbb{Z} \to H\mathbb{Z}$ in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$ where $QH\mathbb{Z}$ is cofibrant in $Spt(\mathcal{M})$. Combining [33, 8.7] and [31, 3.3.3(1)(c)], we deduce that c is a levelwise motivic weak equivalence. Since $Ev_n(H\mathbb{Z})$ is cofibrant in $\mathcal{M}$ for $n \geq 0$, and every cofibrant spectrum is levelwise cofibrant, we conclude that $Ev_n(c) : Ev_n(QH\mathbb{Z}) \to Ev_n(H\mathbb{Z})$ is a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{M}$ for $n \geq 0$. Hence by Ken Brown's lemma [32, 1.1.12], we deduce that π^*c is a levelwise motivic weak equivalence in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$, thus in particular a weak equivalence in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_{cdh})$ by [31, 3.3.3(1)(a)] and [33, 8.7]. This finishes the proof. □

Since $H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = 0$ for $n < 0$ (see Def. 3.1), it follows from (3.7) and 3.1 that for every m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a map, natural in X:

$$\theta_X : H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}). \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.10. *Let k be a field admitting resolution of singularities and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map θ_X induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\theta_X : H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}). \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Let us first assume that $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$. If $n < 0$, then the left hand side of (3.11) is zero by definition and the right hand side is zero by combining the isomorphism from [74, Thm. 4.2]: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}H\mathbb{Z})$ and the comparison of motivic cohomology with the higher Chow groups [72]. So we assume $n \geq 0$.

If $2n < m$, it follows from Voevodsky's isomorphism between the motivic cohomology and Bloch's higher Chow groups [72] and [74, Thm. 4.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathrm{CH}^n(X, 2n-m) \cong H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}H\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

So both sides of (3.11) are zero. We can thus assume $2n \geq m$. We now have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{2n-m}(C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n, 0)(X)) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{nis}(X, C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\pi^*} & \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_X \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{p,q}H\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\pi^*} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}). \end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

Here, $h_i(A_*)$ denotes the homology group $H_i(A_*)$ of the complex of abelian groups A_*. The top horizontal arrow in the lower square is an isomorphism by [24, Thm. 8.1]. The bottom horizontal arrow is an isomorphism by (2.5) and [74, Thm. 4.2]. The left vertical arrow is an isomorphism by [71, Thm. 6.3]. It follows that the right vertical arrow is also an isomorphism.

To prove the general case, we use induction on $\dim(X)$. Since k admits resolution of singularities, there exists a *cdh*-cover $\{X' \amalg Z \to X\}$ of X, such that $X' \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$ and $\dim(Z') < \dim(X)$, where we set $Z' = X' \times_X Z$. We write $D^{m,n}(X)$ as short hand for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z})$.

Since the functors $H^*(-,\mathbb{Z}(n))$ and $D^{*,n}(X)$ both satisfy the *cdh*-descent (by definition), we get a commutative diagram of long exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^{m+1}(X',\mathbb{Z}(n))\oplus H^{m+1}(Z,\mathbb{Z}(n)) & \to & H^{m+1}(Z',\mathbb{Z}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^m(X,\mathbb{Z}(n)) & \to & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_X} & & \\
D^{m+1,n}(X')\oplus D^{m+1,n}(Z) & \longrightarrow & D^{m+1,n}(Z') & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D^{m,n}(X) & \longrightarrow & \\
\to H^m(X',\mathbb{Z}(n))\oplus H^m(Z,\mathbb{Z}(n)) & \to & H^m(Z',\mathbb{Z}(n)) & & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
\longrightarrow D^{m,n}(X')\oplus D^{m,n}(Z) & \longrightarrow & D^{m,n}(Z'). & & & &
\end{array}$$

If $n\geq 0$ and $2n<m$, we have $2n<m+1$ and the above diagram shows by induction and the smooth case that both sides of (3.11) are zero. If $n\geq 0$ and $2n\geq m$, the dimension induction and the case of smooth schemes proven above again show that all vertical arrows in this diagram (except possibly θ_X) are isomorphisms. We conclude that θ_X too is an isomorphism.

If $n<0$, the smooth case again and the induction on dimension show that the two end terms of the exact sequence

$$D^{m+1,n}(Z')\xrightarrow{\partial} D^{m,n}(X)\to D^{m,n}(X')\oplus D^{m,n}(Z)$$

are zero and so is the middle. The proof of the proposition is now complete. □

As a combination of 2.22 and 3.10, we get

Theorem 3.13. *Let k be a field admitting resolution of singularities and let $v: X\to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ be a separated scheme of finite type. Then for $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$, there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^m(X,\mathbb{Z}(n)) & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+,\Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}\pi^*H\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+,\Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

4. Representability in positive characteristic

When the ground field does not admit resolution of singularities, we do not know how to prove 3.13. In this section, we shall prove a weaker version which will suffice for our applications.

In order to do this, we need to invert the exponential characteristic p. Let $\mathbf{1}=\Sigma^\infty_T S^0_s$ be the sphere spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}$, and let $\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{p}]\in\mathcal{SH}$ be the homotopy colimit [58, 1.6.4] of the filtering diagram in $\mathcal{SH}$:

$$\mathbf{1}\xrightarrow{p}\mathbf{1}\xrightarrow{p}\mathbf{1}\xrightarrow{p}\cdots$$

where $\mathbf{1}\xrightarrow{r}\mathbf{1}$ is the composition of the sum map with the diagonal: $\mathbf{1}\xrightarrow{\Delta}\oplus^r_{i=1}\mathbf{1}\xrightarrow{\Sigma}\mathbf{1}$. For $E\in\mathcal{SH}$, we define $E[\frac{1}{p}]\in\mathcal{SH}$ to be $E\wedge\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{p}]$. This also makes sense in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ and $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$.

Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. For $X\in\mathbf{Sch}_k$, let $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X$ denote the category of presheaves with transfers on $\mathbf{Sm}_X$. Let $Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X)$ denote the category of T-spectra of presheaves with transfers on $\mathbf{Sm}_X$ in the sense of [14, § 10.4], where T is identified with $C_*(\frac{z_{equi}(\mathbb{P}^1_X,0)}{z_{equi}(X,0)})$ in $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X$. There is a T-suspension functor $\Sigma^\infty_T:\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X\to Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X)$.

As shown in [14, § 1.3] and [64, § 2], $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X$ (resp. $Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X)$) is a model category whose model structure is detected via the forgetful functor $\phi_X:\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X\to\mathcal{M}_X$ (resp. $\phi_X: Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X)\to Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$) and the motivic Nisnevich model structure (see § 2) of $\mathcal{M}_X$ (resp. $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$). The associated homotopy category is the bounded above (resp. unbounded) derived category of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers on $\mathbf{Sm}_X$, denoted by $\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{DM}(X)$).

When we replace the Nisnevich topology on $\mathbf{Sm}_X$ with the *cdh*-topology on $\mathbf{Sch}_X$, we get the category $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{X,cdh}$ (resp. $Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{X,cdh})$) and its homotopy category $\mathrm{DM}^{eff}_{cdh}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(X)$). There is a *cdh*-sheafification functor $a^*_X : Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X) \to Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{X,cdh})$ and its derived functor $a^*_X : \mathrm{DM}(X) \to \mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(X)$. These categories are equipped with the six functor formalism and in particular, for any $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, there are adjoint functors $(f^*, f_*) : \mathrm{DM}(Y) \to \mathrm{DM}(X)$ and$(f^*, f_*) : \mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(Y) \to \mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(X)$.

Furthermore, the forgetful functor $\phi_X : Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X) \to Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ has a left adjoint $\psi_X : Spt(\mathcal{M}_X) \to Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X)$ which is the left Kan extension determined by levelwise equipping the representable presheaves on $\mathbf{Sch}_X$ with transfers (see [14, § 2.b] and [64, § 2]). Moreover (ψ_X, ϕ_X) form a Quillen adjunction with respect to their motivic Nisnevich (or *cdh*) model structures and they yield an adjunction $(\mathbf{L}\psi_X, \mathbf{R}\phi_X) : \mathcal{SH}_X \to \mathrm{DM}(X)$. Given a map $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, we have a commutative diagram of model categories and Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \phi_Y}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_X} \\ Spt(\mathcal{M}_Y) & \xrightarrow[f^*]{} & Spt(\mathcal{M}_X). \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

As a consequence of this commutative square, we get

Lemma 4.2. *There is a natural transformation of functors:* $\tau : \mathbf{L}f^* \circ \mathbf{R}\phi_Y \to \mathbf{R}\phi_X \circ \mathbf{L}f^*$.

Proof. Let $E \in Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_Y)$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that E is cofibrant and fibrant in $Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_Y)$. Let $q_E : Q(\phi_Y E) \to \phi_Y E$ (resp. $r_E : f^*E \to R(f^*E)$) be a functorial cofibrant (resp. fibrant) replacement of $\phi_Y E$ (resp. f^*E) in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_Y)$ (resp. $Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_X)$). Then the following composition gives the desired natural transformation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{L}f^* \circ \mathbf{R}\phi_Y(E) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & f^*(Q(\phi_Y E)) \\ & \xrightarrow{f^*(q_E)} & f^*(\phi_Y E) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \phi_X(f^*E) \\ & \xrightarrow{\phi_X(r_E)} & \phi_X(R(f^*E)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{R}\phi_X \circ \mathbf{L}f^*(E). \end{array}$$

□

Let us now fix an integer $n \geq 0$. Recall that $K(n, 2n)_X$ is the simplicial presheaf with transfer $C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_X, 0)$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_X$. This is Nisnevich locally equivalent to $C_*(\frac{z_{equi}(\mathbb{P}^n_X, 0)}{z_{equi}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_X, 0)})$. We denote the motivic spectrum with transfer $\{K(n, 2n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ in $Spt(\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_k)$ also by $H\mathbb{Z}$ (denoted in literature by $\mathbb{Z}_X$). If $v : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ denotes the structure map, then it follows from (4.1) and adjunction of (ψ_X, ϕ_X) that for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, there is a map, natural in X:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^* \circ \mathbf{R}\phi_k H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \\ & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{R}\phi_X \circ \mathbf{L}v^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \\ & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(X)}(\mathbf{L}\psi_X \circ \Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \\ & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(X)}(\Sigma^\infty_T \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]), \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

where τ_X is the map of 4.2.

We also have a commutative diagram of model categories and Quillen functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Spt(\mathrm{MS}_k^{\mathrm{tr}}) & \xrightarrow{a_k^*} & Spt(\mathrm{MS}_{k,cdh}^{\mathrm{tr}}) \\ & \searrow^{v^*} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{X*}} \\ & & Spt(\mathrm{MS}_X^{\mathrm{tr}}). \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

As in (2.15), this commutative diagram induces a map $\phi^{\mathrm{tr}} : \mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \to \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*} \circ \mathbf{L}a_k^*H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ in $\mathrm{DM}(X)$. Using the adjunction $(\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*, \mathbf{R}\pi_{X*})$ and the identification $\mathbf{L}\pi_X^*(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X)) \cong \Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X)$ (see, for instance, [14, Lemma 10.4.6]), we get a map

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(X)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]) \\ &\to \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}a_k^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]) \\ &\cong^1 \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \mathbf{L}a_k^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]) \\ &\cong^2 \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\cong^1$ follows from the identification $T^n \cong \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$ in $\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(k)$, which in turn, is a consequence of the distinguished triangle $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \to T^n$ in $\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(k)$ and [40, Thm. 5.5.10]. The isomorphism $\cong^2$ follows from [15, Cor. 5.9].

Combining (4.3) and (4.5), we obtain a map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]). \tag{4.6}$$

We next have:

Lemma 4.7. *For any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and integers $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]) \cong \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh})[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

Proof. A variant of [71, Thm. 5.2] for $\mathrm{DM}(k)$ tells us that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]) \cong \\ &\qquad \operatorname*{colim}_{r\geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(k)}(T^r \wedge \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), K(r,2r)[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand, we have for any $r \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(k)}(T^r \wedge \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), K(r,2r)[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]) \\ &\cong^1 \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X)(r)[2r], \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](r)[2r](n)[m]) \\ &\cong^2 \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)[m]) \\ &\cong \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), K(n,2n)[\tfrac{1}{p}][m-2n]), \end{aligned}$$

where $\cong^1$ follows from [51, Thm. 16.7] and $\cong^2$ is a consequence of Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [75, Cor. 4.9]. The lemma now follows from the isomorphism [40, Prop. 5.3.11]

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), K(n,2n)[\tfrac{1}{p}][m-2n]) \cong \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh})[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \tag{4.9}$$

□

As a consequence of (4.6) and 4.7, we get for any integers $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$, a map, natural in $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$:

$$\phi_X^{\mathrm{tr}} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]) \to \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_*z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}[\tfrac{1}{p}]). \tag{4.10}$$

Our aim is to prove that ϕ_X^{tr} is an isomorphism. In order to do this, we need to work one prime at a time. Let ℓ be a prime, $\ell \neq p$. Let $P(\ell) = \{q_1 < q_2 < ...\} = \mathbb{N}\backslash\{\ell n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be

the complement in the natural numbers of the multiples of ℓ, and $\mathbf{1}_{(\ell)} \in \mathcal{SH}$ be the homotopy colimit [58, 1.6.4] of the filtering diagram in $\mathcal{SH}$:

$$\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{q_1} \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{q_2} \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{q_3} \cdots$$

For $E \in \mathcal{SH}$, we define $E_{(\ell)} \in \mathcal{SH}$ to be $E \wedge \mathbf{1}_{(\ell)}$. This also makes sense in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ and $\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number, and $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then:*

1. *For every $E \in \mathcal{SH}$; $\mathbf{L}\pi^*(E[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong (\mathbf{L}\pi^* E)[\frac{1}{p}]$, $\mathbf{L}\pi^*(E_{(\ell)}) \cong (\mathbf{L}\pi^* E)_{(\ell)}$, $\mathbf{L}v^*(E[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong (\mathbf{L}v^* E)[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $\mathbf{L}v^*(E_{(\ell)}) \cong (\mathbf{L}v^* E)_{(\ell)}$.*
2. *For every $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$ and every a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, E[\tfrac{1}{p}]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, E_{(\ell)}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+, E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}.$$

Proof. (1): It follows from the definition of homotopy colimit [58, 1.6.4] that $\mathbf{L}\pi^*$ and $\mathbf{L}v^*$ commute with homotopy colimits since they are left adjoint. This implies the result since $E[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $E_{(\ell)}$ are given in terms of homotopy colimits.

(2): Since $\Sigma^{a,b}\Sigma_T^\infty Y_+$ is compact in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ [3, Thm. 4.5.67], the result follows from [57, Lem. 2.8]. □

Lemma 4.12. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$ be two integers. Then, the map ϕ_X^{tr} of (4.10) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*\mathbf{R}\phi_k H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \\
& \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\mathbf{L}v_\sharp \Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{R}\phi_k H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \\
& \cong^1 & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{R}\phi_k H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \\
& \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}](n)[m]) \\
& \cong^2 & \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}[\frac{1}{p}]),
\end{array}$$

where $\cong^1$ follows from the smoothness of X (see, for instance, [14, Ex. 11.2.3]) and $\cong^2$ follows from 4.7.

If $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is possibly singular, we can assume X is reduced by [13, Cor. 3.3] and use induction on $\dim(X)$. We can thus assume that (4.10) is an isomorphism for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$ and every $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$. It suffices to show, using 4.11(2), that for every prime $\ell \neq p$, the map (4.10) with $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-coefficients:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^* H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}) \to \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \tag{4.13}$$

is an isomorphism.

Since k is perfect, by a theorem of Gabber [36, Introduction, Thm. 3(1)], there exists $W \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and a surjective proper map $h : W \to X$, which is generically étale of degree prime to ℓ. In particular h is generically flat, thus by a theorem of Raynaud-Gruson [29, Thm. 5.2.2], there exists a blow-up $g : X' \to X$ with center Z such that the following diagram commutes, where h' is finite flat surjective of constant degree prime to ℓ and $g' : W' \to W$ is the blow-up of W with center $h^{-1}(Z)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' \\
{\scriptstyle g'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
W & \xrightarrow[h]{} & X.
\end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

Thus we have a *cdh*-cover $\{X' \amalg Z \to X\}$ of X, such that $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$ and $\dim(E) < \dim(X)$, where we set $E = X' \times_X Z$; and a *cdh*-cover $\{W' \amalg h^{-1}(Z) \to W\}$ of W such that $\dim(h^{-1}(Z)) < \dim(X)$ and $\dim(E') < \dim(X)$, where we set $E' = W' \times_W h^{-1}(Z)$. In the rest of the proof, we shall write $M^{m,n}(X)$ (resp. $N^{m,n}(X)$) for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \mathbf{L}a_k^*(H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}(n)[m]))$).

By applying *cdh*-excision in $\mathrm{DM}_{cdh}(k)$ and [13, Prop. 3.7] in $\mathcal{SH}_X$, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
M^{m-1,n}(E') & \to & M^{m,n}(W) & \to & M^{m,n}(W') \oplus M^{m,n}(h^{-1}(Z)) & \to & M^{m,n}(E') & \to & M^{m+1,n}(W) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_3 \oplus \phi_4} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_5} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_6} \\
N^{m-1,n}(E') & \to & N^{m,n}(W) & \to & N^{m,n}(W') \oplus N^{m,n}(h^{-1}(Z)) & \to & N^{m,n}(E') & \to & N^{m+1,n}(W).
\end{array}$$

By smoothness and induction on the dimension, it follows that ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_4, ϕ_5 and ϕ_6 are isomorphisms. We conclude that ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 are isomorphisms. In particular, the result holds for W' as well.

We next show that (4.13) is an isomorphism for X'. For this, recall that each $a_k^*K(n,2n)$ is an object of $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{tr}}_{k,cdh}$ and in particular, it is a simplicial *cdh*-sheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ with transfer. Hence, it follows from [40, Th. 3.4.17] that the cohomology groups $H^*_{cdh}(Y, C_j z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ have transfer. The hypercohomology spectral sequence then implies that the right hand side of (4.13) has transfer.

On the other hand, letting $v_Y : Y \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ denote the structure map for any $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, it follows from [40, Cor. 5.2.4] and an application of [40, Def. 4.3.1] to $S = \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ and $E = H\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{SH}$ that $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v_Y^*H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)})$ has transfer on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. In particular, there are maps $\mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{h'^*} \mathbf{R}h'_* \circ \mathbf{L}v^*_{W'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{h'_*} \mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ such that $h'_* \circ h'^*$ is multiplication by $\deg(h')$. Here, h'^* corresponds to the isomorphism $\mathbf{L}h'^* \circ \mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \cong \mathbf{L}v^*_{W'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ via the adjunction $(\mathbf{L}h'^*, \mathbf{R}h'_*)$.

We thus get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{X'}} & \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X', C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle h'^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h'^*} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{W'}}(\Sigma^\infty_T W'_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*_{W'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{W'}} & \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(W', C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle h'_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h'_*} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{X'}} & \mathbb{H}^{m-2n}_{cdh}(X', C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n_k, 0)_{cdh}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}
\end{array} \tag{4.15}$$

such that the composite vertical arrows on both sides are given by the multiplication by an invertible element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$. Since we have shown above that $\phi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{W'}$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that so is $\phi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{X'}$.

We now use the *cdh*-cover $\{X' \amalg Z \to X\}$ of X and repeat the argument we did for W' to conclude that ϕ^{tr}_X is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.16. *For any two integers $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n < 0$, one has*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]) = 0.$$

Proof. If $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ by 2.13, and the latter term vanishes by the comparison of motivic cohomology with the higher Chow groups [72].

If $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is possibly singular, we can assume X is reduced by [13, Cor. 3.3]. In particular, the result holds if $\dim(X) = 0$. We now proceed by induction on the dimension and assume that the result holds for every $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$. It suffices to show, using 4.11(2), that for every prime $\ell \neq p$, one has $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}) = 0$.

To show this, we choose $W \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and a surjective proper map $h : W \to X$, which is generically étale of degree prime to ℓ, as in the proof of 4.12. Following the notations of the proof of 4.12, we conclude from [13, Prop. 3.7] that there is an exact sequence

$$M^{m,n}(W) \to M^{m,n}(W') \oplus M^{m,n}(h^{-1}(Z)) \to M^{m,n}(E').$$

It follows from the smoothness of W and from the induction on dimension that the terms in the end of this exact sequence vanish. Hence the middle term vanishes too.

Next, we have seen in the proof of 4.12 that $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)})$ has transfer on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. In particular, there are maps

$$M^{m,n}(X') \xrightarrow{h'^*} M^{m,n}(W') \xrightarrow{h'_*} M^{m,n}(X')$$

whose composite is given by the multiplication by an invertible element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$. We conclude that $M^{m,n}(X') = 0$. Using the *cdh*-cover $\{X' \amalg Z \to X\}$ of X and repeating the argument we did for W', we obtain $M^{m.n}(X) = 0$. □

As a combination of 3.1, 4.12 and 4.16, we obtain the following final result of this section. This extends a part of 3.13 to positive characteristics.

Theorem 4.17. *Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. For any separated scheme $v : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ of finite type and integers m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\phi_X : H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}](n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m,n}\mathbf{L}v^*H\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]).$$

5. Slice spectral sequence for singular schemes

Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. Given $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, recall that Voevodsky's slice filtration of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ is given as follows. For an integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Sigma^q_T\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X$ denote the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ which contains C^q_{eff} and is closed under arbitrary coproducts, where

$$C^q_{\mathrm{eff}} = \{F_n(S^r_s \wedge S^s_t \wedge Y_+) : n, r, s \geq 0, s - n \geq q, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X\}. \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X$ is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ which is closed under infinite direct sums and contains all spectra of the type $\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+$ with $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$. The slice filtration of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ (see [73]) is the sequence of full triangulated subcategories

$$\cdots \subseteq \Sigma^{q+1}_T\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X \subseteq \Sigma^q_T\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X \subseteq \Sigma^{q-1}_T\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X \subseteq \cdots$$

It follows from the work of Neeman [57], [58] that the inclusion $i_q : \Sigma^q_T\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X \to \mathcal{SH}_X$ admits a right adjoint $r_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \to \Sigma^q_T\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X$ and that the functors $f_q, s_{<q}, s_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \to \mathcal{SH}_X$ are triangulated; where $r_q \circ i_q$ is the identity, $f_q = i_q \circ r_q$ and $s_{<q}$, s_q are characterized by the existence of the following distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{SH}_X$:

$$f_qE \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow s_{<q}E \tag{5.2}$$

$$f_{q+1}E \longrightarrow f_qE \longrightarrow s_qE$$

for every $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$.

Definition 5.3. Let a, b, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Y \in Sm_X$. Let $F^nE^{a,b}(Y)$ be the image of the map induced by $f_nE \to E$ (5.2): $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{a,b} f_n E) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{a,b} E)$. This determines a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ on $E^{a,b}(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{a,b} E)$, and we will write $gr^n F^\bullet$ for the associated graded $F^nE^{a,b}(Y)/F^{n+1}E^{a,b}(Y)$.

5.4. **The slice spectral sequence.** Let $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$ be a smooth X-scheme and $G \in \mathcal{SH}_X$. Since $\mathcal{SH}_X$ is a triangulated category, the collection of distinguished triangles $\{f_{q+1}G \to f_qG \to s_qG\}_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}$ determines a (slice) spectral sequence with $G^{*,*}(Y)$ as its abutment.

In order to study the convergence of this spectral sequence, recall from [73, p. 22] that $G \in \mathcal{SH}_X$ is called *bounded* with respect to the slice filtration if for every m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$, there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, f_{q+i}G) = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

for every $i > 0$. Clearly the slice spectral sequence is strongly convergent when G is bounded.

Proposition 5.6. *Let k be a field with resolution of singularities. Let $F \in \mathcal{SH}$ be bounded with respect to the slice filtration and let $G = \mathbf{L}v^*F \in \mathcal{SH}_X$, where $v : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\, k$. Then G is bounded with respect to the slice filtration.*

Proof. Since the base field k admits resolution of singularities, we deduce by [60, Thm. 4.7] that $f_qG \cong \mathbf{L}v^* f_qF$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from 2.22 that for every m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; and every $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, f_{q+i}G) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F))$$

for every $i > 0$. If $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, then $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, f_{q+i}F)$$

for every $i > 0$ by 2.24. Since F is bounded with respect to the slice filtration, we deduce from (5.5) that G is also bounded in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ in this case.

Finally, we proceed by induction on the dimension of Y, and assume that for every m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $Y' \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ with $\dim(Y') < \dim(Y)$; there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y'_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F)) = 0$$

for every $i > 0$. Since the base field k admits resolution of singularities, there exists a *cdh*-cover $\{X' \amalg Z \to Y\}$ of Y such that $X' \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\dim(Z) < \dim(Y)$ and $\dim(W) < \dim(Y)$, where we set $W = X' \times_Y Z$.

Let q_1 (resp. q_2, q_3) be the integers such that the vanishing condition (5.5) holds for (X', m, n) (resp. (Z, m, n), $(W, m+1, n)$). Let q be the maximum of q_1, q_2 and q_3. Then by *cdh*-excision, for every $i > 0$, the following diagram is exact:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m+1,n}\Sigma^\infty_T W, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F)) \to$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F)) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Z_+, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(f_{q+i}F)).$$

By the choice of q, both ends in the diagram vanish, hence the group in the middle also vanishes as we wanted. □

In order to get convergence results in positive characteristic, we need to work one prime at a time.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number, $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$. Then for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_r(E[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong (f_rE)[\frac{1}{p}]$, $f_r(E_{(\ell)}) \cong (f_rE)_{(\ell)}$, $s_r(E[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong (s_rE)[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $s_r(E_{(\ell)}) \cong (s_rE)_{(\ell)}$.*

Proof. The arguments for $E[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $E_{(\ell)}$ are exactly the same, see [61, 4.1.13]. We give the details for $E[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let $\Sigma^r_T\mathcal{SH}^{\text{eff}}_X$ be the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ with generators given in (5.1) with $q = r$, and $\mathcal{SH}^{\perp}_X(r)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ with objects E that satisfy the following condition: for every $F \in \Sigma^r_T\mathcal{SH}^{\text{eff}}_X$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(F, E) = 0$. It is clear that $\mathcal{SH}^{\perp}_X(r)$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$, and it follows from [61, 2.2.13], [62, 2.1.7(1)] that $\mathcal{SH}^{\perp}_X(r)$ is closed under infinite coproducts.

Since $E[\frac{1}{p}]$ is defined as a homotopy colimit with all the terms equal to E, it follows from [60, Rmk. 2.13 and Thm. 2.12] that it suffices to show that $\Sigma^r_T\mathcal{SH}^{\text{eff}}_X$ and $\mathcal{SH}^{\perp}_X(r+1)$ are closed under homotopy colimits.

But, it follows directly from the definition of homotopy colimit [58, 1.6.4] that any full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ which is closed under infinite coproducts, is closed under homotopy colimits as well. This finishes the proof. □

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number. Let $F \in \mathcal{SH}$ and $G = \mathbf{L}v^*F \in \mathcal{SH}_X$, where $v : X \to \text{Spec}\, k$. Assume that for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s_r(F_{(\ell)})$ has a weak structure of smooth traces in the sense of* [40, 4.2.27]*; and that $F_{(\ell)}$ has a structure of traces in the sense of* [40, 4.3.1]*. If $F_{(\ell)}$ is bounded with respect to the slice filtration, then $G_{(\ell)}$ is bounded as well.*

Proof. Since the base field k is perfect and $F_{(\ell)}$ is clearly $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-local, combining [40, 4.2.29] and 5.7, we conclude that $f_qG_{(\ell)} \cong \mathbf{L}v^*f_qF_{(\ell)}$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. By 2.13, it suffices to show that (5.5) holds for X.

If $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, we get

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, f_{q+i}G_{(\ell)}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})$$

for every $i > 0$ by 2.13. Since $F_{(\ell)}$ is bounded with respect to the slice filtration, we deduce from (5.5) that $G_{(\ell)}$ is also bounded with respect to the slice filtration in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ in this case.

In general, we proceed by induction on the dimension of X, and assume that for every m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ with $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$; there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Z}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Z_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_Z(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) = 0$$

for every $i > 0$, where $v_Z : Z \to \text{Spec}\,(k)$ is the structure map (so that $v_X = v$).

We choose $W \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and a surjective proper map $h : W \to X$, which is generically étale of degree prime to ℓ, as in the proof of 4.12. Keeping the notations of 4.12 and using (4.14), we let q_1 (resp. q_2, q_3) be the integers such that the vanishing condition (5.5) holds for (W, m, n) (resp. (Z, m, n), $(E, m+1, n)$).

Let q be the maximum of q_1, q_2 and q_3. An application of [13, Prop. 3.7] (see the proof of 2.14) yields an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_E}(\Sigma^{m+1,n}\Sigma^\infty_T E_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_E(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_X(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) \to$$
$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Z}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T Z_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_Z(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})).$$

By the choice of q, this reduces to the following exact diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_X(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})).$$

So it suffices to show that $g^* = 0$. In order to prove this, we observe from the smoothness of W and the choice of q that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_W}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T W_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_W(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) = 0$. We conclude from 4.14 that $h'^* \circ g^* = g'^* \circ h^* = 0$. Thus, it is enough to see that

$$h'^* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{W'}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T W'_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_{W'}(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)}))$$

is injective.

Since $F_{(\ell)}$ has a structure of traces and $s_r(F_{(\ell)})$ has a weak structure of smooth traces for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows from [40, 4.3.7] that $f_{q+i}(F_{(\ell)})$ has a structure of traces in the sense of [40, 4.3.1]. As shown in the proof of 4.12, this implies that there is a trace homomorphism $h'_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{W'}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T W'_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_{W'}(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^{m,n}\Sigma^\infty_T X'_+, \mathbf{L}v^*_{X'}(f_{q+i}F_{(\ell)}))$ such that $h'_* \circ h'^*$ is multiplication by $\deg(h')$. Since $\deg(h')$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$, we conclude that h'^* is injective. This finishes the proof. □

5.9. **The slice spectral sequence for** $\mathrm{MGL}(X)$**.** Our aim here is to apply the results of the previous sections to obtain a Hopkins-Morel type spectral sequence for $\mathrm{MGL}^{*,*}(X)$ when X is a singular scheme.

Recall from [71, § 6.3] that for any noetherian scheme S of finite Krull dimension, the scheme $\mathrm{Gr}_S(N,n)$ parametrizes n-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{A}^N_S$ and one writes $\mathrm{BGL}_{S,n} = \mathrm{colim}_N \mathrm{Gr}_S(N,n)$. There is a universal rank n bundle $U_{S,n} \to \mathrm{BGL}_{S,n}$ and one denotes the Thom space $Th(U_{S,n})$ of this bundle by $\mathrm{MGL}_{S,n}$. Using the fact that the Thom space of a direct sum is the smash product of the corresponding Thom spaces and $T = Th(\mathcal{O}_S)$, one gets a T-spectrum $\mathrm{MGL}_S = (\mathrm{MGL}_{S,0}, \mathrm{MGL}_{S,1}, \cdots) \in Spt(\mathcal{M}_S)$.

We now let k be a field of exponential characteristic p and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. We shall use MGL as a short hand for MGL_k throughout this text. It follows from the above definition of MGL_X (which shows that MGL_X is constructed from presheaves represented by smooth schemes) and 2.12 that the canonical map $\mathbf{L}v^*(\mathrm{MGL}) \to \mathrm{MGL}_X$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 5.10. We define $\mathrm{MGL}^{*,*}(X)$ to be the generalized cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MGL}^{p,q}(X) &:= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{p,q}\,\mathrm{MGL}_X) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{p,q}\mathbf{L}v^*\,\mathrm{MGL}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now assume that k admits resolution of singularities. In this case, it follows from 2.22 that

$$\mathrm{MGL}^{p,q}_X(X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{cdh}}(\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{p,q}\mathbf{L}\pi^*\,\mathrm{MGL}). \tag{5.11}$$

We now construct the spectral sequence for $\mathrm{MGL}^{*,*}(X)$ using the exact couple technique as follows. For $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$A^{p,q}(X,n) := [\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{p+q-n}_s \Sigma^n_t (f_p\,\mathrm{MGL}_X)].$$

$$E^{p,q}(X,n) := [\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{p+q-n}_s \Sigma^n_t s_p\,\mathrm{MGL}_X].$$

Here, $[-,-]$ denotes the morphisms in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. It follows from (5.2) that there is an exact sequence

$$A^{p+1,q-1}(X,n) \xrightarrow{a^{p,q}_n} A^{p,q}(X,n) \xrightarrow{b^{p,q}_n} E^{p,q}(X,n) \xrightarrow{c^{p,q}_n} A^{p+1,q}(X,n). \tag{5.12}$$

Set $D_1(X,n) := \oplus_{p,q} A^{p,q}(X,n)$ and $E_1(X,n) := \oplus_{p,q} E^{p,q}(X,n)$. Write $a^1_n := \oplus a^{p,q}_n$, $b^1_n := \oplus b^{p,q}_n$ and $c^1_n := \oplus c^{p,q}_n$. This gives an exact couple $\{D^1_n, E^1_n, a^1_n, b^1_n, c^1_n\}$ and the map $d^1_n = b^1_n \circ c^1_n : E^1_n \to E^1_n$ shows that (E_1, d_1) is a complex. Thus, by repeatedly taking the homology functors, we obtain a spectral sequence.

For the target of the spectral sequence, let $A^m(X,n) := \mathrm{colim}_{q\to\infty} A^{m-q,q}(X,n)$. Since X is a compact object of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ (see [71, Prop. 5.5], [3, Thm. 4.5.67]), the colimit enters into $[-,-]$ so that $A^m(X,n) = [\Sigma^\infty_T X_+, \Sigma^{m-n}_s \Sigma^n_t\,\mathrm{MGL}_X] = \mathrm{MGL}^{m,n}_X(X)$. The formalism of exact couples then yields us a spectral sequence

$$E^{p,q}_1(X,n) = E^{p,q}(X,n) \Rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}^{m,n}_X(X). \tag{5.13}$$

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q}(X,n) &= [\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{p+q-n}\Sigma_t^n s_p \operatorname{MGL}_X] \\ &\cong^1 [\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{p+q-n}\Sigma_t^n s_p \mathbf{L}v^* \operatorname{MGL}] \\ &\cong^2 [\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{p+q-n}\Sigma_t^n \mathbf{L}v^*(s_p \operatorname{MGL})] \\ &\cong^3 [\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{p+q-n}\Sigma_t^n \mathbf{L}v^*(\Sigma_T^p H(\mathbb{L}^{-p}))] \\ &\cong [\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{p+q-n}\Sigma_t^n \Sigma_T^p \mathbf{L}v^*(H(\mathbb{L}^{-p}))]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

In this sequence of isomorphisms, $\cong^1$ is shown above, $\cong^2$ follows from [60, Thm. 3.7] and $\cong^3$ follows from the isomorphism $s_p \operatorname{MGL}_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma_T^p H(\mathbb{L}^{-p})$, as shown, for example, in [34, 8.6, p. 46], where $\mathbb{L} = \oplus_{i\le 0}\mathbb{L}^i \cong \oplus_{i\ge 0} MU_{2i}$ is the Lazard ring.

Since $\mathbb{L}$ is a torsion-free abelian group, it follows from 3.13 that the last term of (5.14) is same as $H^{3p+q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n+p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^{-p}$.

The spectral sequence (5.13) is actually identical to an E_2-spectral sequence after reindexing. Indeed, letting $\widetilde{E}_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^{q'}$ and using (5.14), an elementary calculation shows that the invertible transformation $(3p+q, n+p) \mapsto (p'-q', n-q')$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p+1,q} &\cong [\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{p+q+1-n}\Sigma_t^n s_{p+1} \operatorname{MGL}_X] \\ &\cong H^{(p'+2)-(q'-1)}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-(q'-1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^{q'-1} = \widetilde{E}_2^{p'+2,q'-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

It is clear from (5.12) that the E_1-differential of the above spectral sequence is $d_1^{p.q} : E_1^{p,q} \to E_1^{p+1,q}$ and (5.15) shows that this differential is identified with the differential $d_2^{p',q'} = d_1^{p,q} : \widetilde{E}_2^{p',q'} \to \widetilde{E}_2^{p'+2,q'-1}$. Inductively, it follows that the chain complex $\{E_r^{p,q} \xrightarrow{d_r} E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}\}$ is transformed to the chain complex $\{\widetilde{E}_{r+1}^{p',q'} \xrightarrow{d_r} \widetilde{E}_{r+1}^{p'+r+1,q'-r}\}$. Combining this with (5.11), we conclude the following.

Theorem 5.16. *Let k be a field which admits resolution of singularities and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then for any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence:*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^{p-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^q \Rightarrow \operatorname{MGL}^{p+q,n}(X) \tag{5.17}$$

where the differentials of this spectral sequence are given by $d_r : E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$; and for every p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $E_r^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$ for $r \ge N$, where $E_\infty^{p,q}$ is the associated graded $gr^{-q}F^\bullet$ with respect to the descending filtration on $\operatorname{MGL}^{p+q,n}(X)$ (see 5.3). Furthermore, this spectral sequence degenerates with rational coefficients.

Proof. The construction of the spectral sequence is shown above. Since MGL is bounded by [34, Thm. 8.12], it follows form 5.6 that the spectral sequence (5.17) is strongly convergent. Thus, we deduce the existence of $N > 0$ such that $E_r^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$ for $r \ge N$.

For the degeneration with rational coefficients, we note that the maps $f_p \operatorname{MGL} \to s_p \operatorname{MGL} \cong \Sigma_T^p H(\mathbb{L}^{-p})$ rationally split to yield an isomorphism of spectra $\operatorname{MGL}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \oplus_{p\ge 0}\Sigma_T^p H(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-p})$ in $\mathcal{SH}$ [55, Thm. 10.5 and Cor. 10.6(i)]. The desired degeneration of the spectral sequence now follows immediately from its construction above. □

Remark 5.18. If k is a perfect field of positive characteristic p, we observe that $s_r(\operatorname{MGL}[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong \Sigma_T^r H(\mathbb{L}^{-r})[\frac{1}{p}]$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ [34, 8.6, p. 46], so $s_r(\operatorname{MGL}[\frac{1}{p}])$ has a weak structure of smooth traces [40, 5.2.4]. Thus, we can apply [40, 4.2.29] to conclude that $\mathbf{L}v^* s_r(\operatorname{MGL}[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong s_r(\mathbf{L}v^* \operatorname{MGL}[\frac{1}{p}])$ and using the argument above we obtain a spectral sequence as in (5.17):

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}^{q'}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \Rightarrow \operatorname{MGL}^{p'+q',n}(X)[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

But we can only guarantee strong convergence when $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ [34, Thm. 8.12].

5.19. **The slice spectral sequence for** KGL**.** Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. For any noetherian scheme X of finite Krull dimension, the motivic T-spectrum $\mathrm{KGL}_X \in Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ was defined by Voevodsky (see [71, § 6.2]) which has the property that it represents algebraic K-theory of objects in $\mathbf{Sm}_X$ if X is regular. It was later shown by Cisinski [13] that for X not necessarily regular, KGL_X represents Weibel's homotopy invariant K-theory $KH_*(Y)$ for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$.

The map $\mathbf{L}v^*(\mathrm{KGL}_k) \to \mathrm{KGL}_X$ is an isomorphism by [13, Prop. 3.8]. It is known that $s_r \,\mathrm{KGL}_k \cong \Sigma^r_T H\mathbb{Z}$, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ [48, Thm. 6.4.2]. It follows from [60, Thm. 3.7] (in positive characteristic we use instead [40, 4.2.29]) that $\mathbf{L}v^*(s_r \,\mathrm{KGL}[\frac{1}{p}]_k) \cong s_r(\mathbf{L}v^* \,\mathrm{KGL}[\frac{1}{p}]_k) \cong s_r \,\mathrm{KGL}[\frac{1}{p}]_X$. One also knows that $(\mathrm{KGL}_k)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \oplus_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^p_T H\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{SH}$ [63, 5.3.17 and 5.3.10]. We can thus use the Bott periodicity of KGL_X and repeat the construction of § 5.9 mutatis mutandis (with $n = 0$) to conclude the following.

Theorem 5.20. *Let k be a field that admits resolution of singularities (resp. a perfect field of exponential characteristic $p > 1$ and $\ell \neq p$ a prime); and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(-q')) \Rightarrow KH_{-p'-q'}(X) \tag{5.21}$$

$$\textit{resp. } E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \Rightarrow KH_{-p'-q'}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \tag{5.22}$$

where the differentials of this spectral sequence are given by $d_r : E_r^{p',q'} \to E_r^{p'+r,q'-r+1}$; and for every p', $q' \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $E_r^{p',q'} = E_\infty^{p',q'}$ for $r \geq N$, where $E_\infty^{p',q'}$ is the associated graded $gr^{-q'} F^\bullet$ with respect to the descending filtration on $KH_{-p'-q'}(X)$ (resp. $(KH_{(\ell)})_{-p'-q'}(X)$), see 5.3. Furthermore, this spectral sequence degenerates with rational coefficients.

Proof. If k admits resolution of singularities, we just need to show that the spectral sequence is convergent. For this, we observe that KGL_k is the spectrum associated to the Landweber exact $\mathbb{L}$-algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$ that classifies the multiplicative formal group law [67, Thm. 1.2], thus [34, 8.12] implies that KGL_k is bounded with respect to the slice filtration (this argument also applies in positive characteristic). Hence, the convergence follows from 5.6.

In the case of positive characteristic, the existence of the spectral sequence follows by combining the argument of § 5.9 with 4.11 and 5.7. To establish the convergence, it suffices to check that $(\mathrm{KGL}_{(\ell)})_k$ satisfies the conditions in 5.8.

We have already shown that KGL_k is bounded with respect to the slice filtration. Thus, by 4.11(2) we conclude that $(\mathrm{KGL}_{(\ell)})_k$ is bounded with respect to the slice filtration as well. On the other hand, it follows from [40, 5.2.3] that $(\mathrm{KGL}_{(\ell)})_k$ has a structure of traces in the sense of [40, 4.3.1]. Finally, since $s_r \,\mathrm{KGL}_k \cong \Sigma^r_T H\mathbb{Z}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, combining [40, 5.2.4] and 5.7, we deduce that $s_r(\mathrm{KGL}_{(\ell)})_k$ has a weak structure of smooth traces in the sense of [40, 4.2.27]. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 5.23. When k admits resolution of singularities, the spectral sequence of Theorem 5.20 is not new and was constructed by Haesemeyer (see [30, Thm. 7.3]) using a different approach. However, the degeneration part of 5.20 is new and does not follow from the argument of [30]. In the case of positive characteristic, the spectral sequence is new.

Remark 5.24. If k is a perfect field of positive characteristic p, the argument in 5.18 also shows that there is a spectral sequence as in (5.20):

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \Rightarrow KH_{-p'-q'}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

But we can only guarantee strong convergence when $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ [34, Thm. 8.12], in which case, spectral sequence is defined and is strongly convergent integrally.

As a combination of 5.20 and [70, Thm. 9.5, 9.6], we obtain the following spectral sequence for the algebraic K-theory $K^B(-)$ of singular schemes [70].

Corollary 5.25. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic p. Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime and $m \geq 0$ any integer. Given any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, there exist strongly convergent spectral sequences*

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \Rightarrow K^B_{-p'-q'}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}; \tag{5.26}$$

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m(-q')) \Rightarrow K^B/\ell^m{}_{-p'-q'}(X). \tag{5.27}$$

6. Applications I: Comparing cobordism, K-theory and cohomology

In this section, we deduce some geometric applications of the slice spectral sequences for singular schemes. More applications will appear in the subsequent sections.

Consider the edge map $\mathrm{MGL} = f_0 \mathrm{MGL} \to s_0 \mathrm{MGL} \cong H\mathbb{Z}$ in the spectral sequence (5.17). This induces a natural map $\nu_X : \mathrm{MGL}^{i,j}(X) \to H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(j))$ for every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following result shows that there is no distinction between algebraic cycles and cobordism cycles at the level of 0-cycles.

Theorem 6.1. *Let k be a field which admits resolution of singularities (resp. a perfect field of positive characteristic p). Then for any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ of dimension d, we have $H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) = 0$ (resp. $H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] = 0$) whenever $a > d + b$. In particular, for every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ (resp. $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$), the map*

$$\nu_X : \mathrm{MGL}^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \to H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \tag{6.2}$$

$$\textit{resp. } \nu_X : \mathrm{MGL}^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \to H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \tag{6.3}$$

is an isomorphism for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Using the spectral sequence (5.17) (resp. 5.18) and the fact that $\mathbb{L}^{>0} = 0$, the isomorphism of (6.2) (resp. 6.3) follows immediately from the vanishing assertion for the motivic cohomology.

To prove the vanishing result, we note that for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, there is an isomorphism $H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \cong \mathrm{CH}^a(X, b)$ by [72], and the latter group is clearly zero if $a > d + b$ by definition of Bloch's higher Chow groups.

If X is not smooth and k admits resolution of singularities, our assumption on k implies that there exists a *cdh*-cover $\{X' \amalg Z \to X\}$ of X, such that $X' \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$ and $\dim(W) < \dim(X)$, where we set $W = X' \times_X Z$. The *cdh*-descent for the motivic cohomology yields an exact sequence

$$H^{2a-b-1}(W, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \to H^{2a-b}(X', \mathbb{Z}(a)) \oplus H^{2a-b}(Z, \mathbb{Z}(a)).$$

The smooth case of our vanishing result shown above and an induction on the dimension together imply that the two end terms of this exact sequence vanish. Hence, the middle term vanishes too.

If X is not smooth and k is perfect of positive characteristic, it suffices to work one prime at a time, i.e., it is enough to show that $H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} = 0$ for every prime $\ell \neq p$ (see 4.11(2)).

Now we argue as in 5.8. Namely, we can find $W \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and a surjective proper map $h : W \to X$, which is generically étale of degree prime to ℓ, as in the proof of 4.12. Using (4.14) and keeping the notations of the proof of 4.12, we get an exact sequence

$$H^{2a-b-1}(E, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \to H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \to$$
$$H^{2a-b}(X', \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \oplus H^{2a-b}(Z, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}.$$

By induction on the dimension, this reduces to the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{g^*} H^{2a-b}(X', \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \ .$$

So it suffices to show that $g^* = 0$. In order to prove this, we observe that $W \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and hence $H^{2a-b}(W, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} = 0$; and we conclude from (4.14) that $h'^* \circ g^* = g'^* \circ h^* = 0$. Thus, it is enough to see that

$$h'^* : H^{2a-b}(X', \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \to H^{2a-b}(W', \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$$

is injective. But proof of this follows an argument identical to the one given in the last paragraph of the proof of 5.8. □

Remark 6.4. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and $i = 0$, the isomorphism of (6.2) was proved by Déglise [18, Cor. 4.3.4].

Theorem 6.5. *Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p. Then for any regular semi-local ring A which is essentially of finite type over k, and for any integer $n \geq 0$, the map*

$$\mathrm{MGL}^{n,n}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \to H^n(A, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \tag{6.6}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{MGL}^{n,n}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \cong K^M_n(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Proof. Using the spectral sequence (5.17) and the fact that $\mathbb{L}^{>0} = 0$, it suffices to prove that $E_2^{n+i+j,-i}(A) = 0$ for every $j \geq 0$ and $i \geq 1$. In positive characteristic, we can use 5.18 since A is regular. Notice that (5.17)-(5.18) are strongly coverent for A by [34, 8.9-8.10].

On the one hand, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{n+i+j,-i}(A) &= H^{n+2i+j}(A, \mathbb{Z}(n+i)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \\ &\cong \mathrm{CH}^{n+i}(A, 2n+2i-n-2i-j) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] = \mathrm{CH}^{n+i}(A, n-j) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, letting F denote the fraction field of A, the Gersten resolution for the higher Chow groups (see [10, Thm. 10.1]) shows that the restriction map $\mathrm{CH}^{n+i}(A, n-j) \to \mathrm{CH}^{n+i}(F, n-j)$ is injective. But the term $\mathrm{CH}^{n+i}(F, n-j)$ is zero whenever $j \geq 0, i \geq 1$ for the dimension reason. We conclude that $E_2^{n+i+j,-i}(A) = 0$. The last assertion of the theorem now follows from the isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}^n(A, n) \cong K^M_n(A)$ by [42, Thm. 1.1]. □

Remark 6.7. When A is a field, 6.5 was proved by Morel using methods of unstable motivic homotopy theory [53]. Taking for granted the result for fields, Déglise [18] proves 6.5 using homotopy modules.

6.8. **Connective K-theory.** Let k be a perfect field of exponential characteristic p and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Recall that the *connective K-theory* spectrum KGL^0_X is defined to be the motivic T-spectrum $f_0 \mathrm{KGL}_X$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ (see (5.2)). In particular, there is a canonical map $u_X : \mathrm{KGL}^0_X \to \mathrm{KGL}_X$ which is universal for morphisms from objects of $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathrm{eff}}_X$ to KGL_X. For any $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_X$, we let $CKH^{p,q}(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma^\infty_T Y_+, \Sigma^{p,q} \mathrm{KGL}^0_X)$. Using an analogue of 5.16 for KGL^0_X, one can prove the existence of the cycle class map for the higher Chow cycles as follows.

Theorem 6.9. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic p and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ have dimension d. Then the map* $\mathrm{KGL}^0_X[\frac{1}{p}] \to s_0 \mathrm{KGL}_X[\frac{1}{p}] \cong H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ *induces for every integer $i \geq 0$, an isomorphism*

$$CKH^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \tag{6.10}$$

In particular, the canonical map $\mathrm{KGL}_X^0 \to \mathrm{KGL}_X$ *induces a natural cycle class map*

$$cyc_i : H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \to KH_i(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. First, we assume that k admits resolution of singularities. It follows from the definition that KGL_X^0 is a connective T-spectrum and we have $\mathbf{L}v^*(\mathrm{KGL}_k^0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KGL}_X^0$ by [60, Thm. 4.7]. One also knows that $s_r \mathrm{KGL}_k^0 \cong \Sigma_T^r H\mathbb{Z}$ for $r \geq 0$ [48, Thm. 6.4.2] and zero otherwise. The proof of 5.16 can now be repeated verbatim to conclude that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{q' \leq 0} \Rightarrow CKH^{p'+q',n}(X), \tag{6.12}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{q' \leq 0} = \mathbb{Z}$ if $q' \leq 0$ and is zero otherwise. Furthermore, this spectral sequence degenerates with rational coefficients.

One now repeats the proof of 6.1 to conclude that the edge map $CKH^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \to H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i))$ is an isomorphism for every $i \geq 0$. Finally, we compose the inverse of this isomorphism with canonical map $CKH^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \to KH_i(X)$ to get the desired cycle class map.

If the characteristic of k is positive, we observe by 4.11(2) that it suffices to work one prime at a time, i.e., it is enough to show that (6.10) holds with $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-coefficients for every prime $\ell \neq p$.

Combining [48, Thm. 6.4.2] and 5.7, we deduce that $s_r(\mathrm{KGL}_k^0[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong \Sigma_T^r H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ for every $r \geq 0$ and zero otherwise, so $s_r(\mathrm{KGL}_k^0[\frac{1}{p}])$ has a weak structure of traces [40, 5.2.4]. Thus, we can apply [40, 4.2.29] to conclude that $\mathbf{L}v^*(\mathrm{KGL}_k^0[\frac{1}{p}]) \cong \mathrm{KGL}_X^0[\frac{1}{p}]$. Then the argument of 5.20 applies, and we conclude that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every prime $\ell \neq p$, there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p',q'} = H^{p'-q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n-q')) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)})_{q' \leq 0} \Rightarrow CKH^{p'+q',n}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}, \tag{6.13}$$

By 6.1, $H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} = 0$ whenever $a > d + b$. Thus, combining the spectral sequence (6.12) and the fact that $\mathbb{L}^{>0} = 0$, we deduce the isomorphism of (6.10) with $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-coefficients:

$$CKH^{2d+i,d+i}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2d+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d+i)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}.$$

□

An argument identical to the proof of 6.5 shows that for any regular semi-local ring A which is essentially of finite type over k and any integer $n \geq 0$, there is a natural isomorphism (notice that in positive characteristic the spectral sequence is also strongly convergent integrally (5.24) since A is regular):

$$CKH^{n,n}(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n^M(A). \tag{6.14}$$

Moreover, the canonical map $CKH^{n,n}(A) \to K_n(A)$ respects products [59, Thm. 3.6.9], hence it coincides with the known map $K_n^M(A) \to K_n(A)$. This shows that the Milnor K-theory is represented by the connective K-theory and one gets a lifting of the relation between the Milnor and Quillen K-theory of smooth semi-local schemes to the level of $\mathcal{SH}$.

As another consequence of the slice spectral sequence, one gets the following comparison result between the connective and non-connective versions of the homotopy K-theory. The homological analogue of this results was shown in [17, Cor. 5.5].

Theorem 6.15. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic p and let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ have dimension d. Then the canonical map $CKH^{2n,n}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \to KH_0(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is an isomorphism for every integer $n \leq 0$.*

Proof. If k admits resolution of singularities, we observe that the slice spectral sequence is functorial for morphisms of motivic T-spectra. Since $H^{2q}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q))=0$ for $q<0$, a comparison of the spectral sequences (5.21) and (6.12) shows that it is enough to prove that for every $r\geq 2$ and $q\leq 0$, either $q+r-1\leq 0$ or $H^{-q-r-(q+r-1)}(X,\mathbb{Z}(1-r-q))=H^{1-2r-2q}(X,\mathbb{Z}(1-r-q))=0$. But this is true because $H^{1-2r-2q}(X,\mathbb{Z}(s))=0$ if $s<0$.

In positive characteristic, we use the same argument as above for the spectral sequences (5.22) and (6.13) to deduce that

$$CKH^{2n,n}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}\to KH_0(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$$

is an isomorphism for every prime $\ell\neq p$. Then, the result follows from 4.11(2). □

Yet another consequence of the above spectral sequences is the following direct verification of Weibel's vanishing conjecture for negative KH-theory and negative CKH-theory of singular schemes. For KH-theory, there are other proofs of this conjecture by Haesemeyer [30, Thm. 7.1] in characteristic zero and by Kelly [41, Thm. 3.5] in positive characteristic using different methods. But the vanishing result for CKH-theory is new in any characteristic.

Theorem 6.16. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic p and let $X\in\mathbf{Sch}_k$ have dimension d. Then $CKH^{m,n}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]=KH_{2n-m}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]=0$ whenever $2n-m<-d$ and $KH_{-d}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]\cong\mathbb{H}^d_{cdh}(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$.*

Proof. When k admits resolution of singularities, using the spectral sequences (5.21) and (6.10), it suffices to show that $H^{p-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}(n-q))=0$ whenever $2n-p-q+d<0$.

If $n-q<0$, then we already know that this motivic cohomology group is zero. So we can assume $n-q\geq 0$. We set $a=n-q, b=2n-p-q$ so that $2a-b=2n-2q-2n+p+q=p-q$. Since $2n-p-q+d<0$ and $n-q\geq 0$ by our assumption, we get

$$b+d-a=2n-p-q+d-n+q=n-p+d=(2n-p-q+d)-(n-q)<0.$$

The theorem now follows because we have shown in the proof of 6.1 that $H^{p-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}(n-q))=H^{2a-b}(X,\mathbb{Z}(a))=0$ as $a>b+d$. This argument also shows that $KH_{-d}(X)\cong H^d(X,\mathbb{Z}(0))\cong\mathbb{H}^d_{cdh}(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

In positive characteristic, we use the same argument with the spectral sequences (5.22) and (6.13) to deduce that $CKH^{m,n}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}=KH_{2n-m}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}=0$ whenever $2n-m<-d$ and $KH_{-d}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}\cong\mathbb{H}^d_{cdh}(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$, for every prime $\ell\neq p$. Thus, the result follows from 4.11(2). □

Weibel's conjecture on the vanishing of certain negative K-theory was proven (after inverting the characteristic) by Kelly [41]. But the following piece was missing in Kelly's work. The characteristic zero version of this computation was proven in [16, Thm. 02(1)].

Corollary 6.17. *Let k be a field of exponential characteristic p and let $X\in\mathbf{Sch}_k$ have dimension d. Then*

$$K^B_{-d}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}]\cong\mathbb{H}^d_{cdh}(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

7. The Chern classes on KH-theory

In order to obtain more applications of the slice spectral sequence for KH-theory and the cycle class map (see 6.9), we need to have a theory of Chern classes on the KH-theory of singular schemes.

Gillet [28] showed that a large class of cohomology theories satisfying certain admissibility axioms admits a theory of Chern classes from algebraic K-theory of smooth varieties over a field. These Chern classes are very powerful tools for understanding algebraic K-theory groups in terms of various cohomology theories such as motivic cohomology and Hodge theory. The

Chern classes in Deligne cohomology are used to define various regulator maps on K-theory and they also give rise to the construction of intermediate Jacobians of smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. In this section, we show that Gillet's technique can be used to construct such Chern classes on homotopy invariant K-theory of singular schemes. Applications of these Chern classes to the understanding of the motivic cohomology and KH-theory of singular schemes will be given in the following two sections.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ denote the category of separated schemes of finite type over k with the big Zariski topology. Let $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ denote the full subcategory of smooth schemes over k with the big Zariski topology. For any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, let X_{Zar} denote small Zariski site of X. There is a morphism of sites $\tau_X : \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k} \to X_{\mathrm{Zar}}$. A presheaf of spectra on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ or $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ will mean a presheaf of S^1-spectra.

Let $Pre(\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k})$ be the category of presheaves of simplicial sets on $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ equipped with the Zariski local model structure, i.e., the left Bousfield localization of $\widetilde{Pre}_k$ (see 2.2) with respect to maps of the form $P(\alpha)$ (see 2.7) where the distinguished squares in 2.7 are Zariski open covers of Y. The weak equivalences are the maps that induce a weak equivalence of simplicial sets at every Zariski stalk. This model structure restricts to a similar model structure on the category $Pre(X_{\mathrm{Zar}})$ of presheaves of simplicial sets on X_{Zar} for every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Moroever, there are adjoint functors $(\tau_X^*, \tau_{X*}) : Pre(X_{\mathrm{Zar}}) \to Pre(\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k})$.

Lemma 7.1. *The adjoint functors (τ_X^*, τ_{X*}) form a Quillen pair.*

Proof. The argument in 2.6 shows that τ_X^* preserves cofibrations. So, it suffices to show that τ_X^* preserves Zariski local weak equivalences.

So let $p : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a local weak equivalence of presheaves of simplicial sets on X_{Zar}. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ and let $y \in Y$ be a point. Then for any Zariski open neighborhood $U \subseteq Y$ of y, we have $\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathrm{colim}_{f(U) \subseteq V} \mathcal{F}(V) \to \mathcal{F}_x$, where $x = f(y)$. This shows that there is a canonical map $f^*(\mathcal{F})_y \to \mathcal{F}_x$. On the other hand, given any open $V \subseteq X$ containing x, we see that $U = f^{-1}(V)$ is open in Y containing y and $f(U) \subseteq V$. In particular, there are canonical maps $\mathcal{F}(V) \to \mathrm{colim}_{f(U) \subseteq W} \mathcal{F}(W) \to f^*(\mathcal{F})_y$. It follows that the map $f^*(\mathcal{F})_y \to \mathcal{F}_x$ is an isomorphism and the proof concludes. □

If we let $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{big}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(k)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sml}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X)$ be the homotopy categories of $Pre(\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k})$ and $Pre(X_{\mathrm{Zar}})$, then it follows from 7.1 that there are adjoint functors $(\mathbf{L}\tau_X^*, \mathbf{R}\tau_{X*}) : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sml}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X) \to \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{big}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(k)$.

7.2. **Chern classes from KH-theory to motivic cohomology.** For any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, let $\Omega BQP(X)$ denote the simplicial set obtained by taking the loop space of the nerve of the category $QP(X)$ obtained by applying Quillen's Q-construction to the exact category of locally free sheaves on X_{Zar}. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the presheaf of simplicial sets on $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ given by $X \mapsto \Omega BQP(X)$. One knows that $\mathcal{K}$ is a presheaf of infinite loop spaces so that there is a presheaf of spectra $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\mathcal{K} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{K}})_0$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B$ denote the Thomason-Trobaugh presheaf of spectra on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B(X) = K^B(X)$ for every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. There is a natural map of presheaves of spectra $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B$ which induces isomorphism between the non-negative homotopy group presheaves.

Recall from [38, Thm. 2.34] that the presheaves of spectra on $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ has a closed model structure where the weak equivalence is given by the stalk-wise stable equivalence of spectra and a map $f : E \to F$ is a cofibration if f_0 is a monomorphism and $E_{n+1} \amalg_{S^1 \wedge E_n} S^1 \wedge F_n \to F_{n+1}$ is a monomorphism for each $n \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathrm{Zar}}(k)$ denote the associated homotopy category. There is a functor $\Sigma^\infty_s : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{big}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(k) \to \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathrm{Zar}}(k)$ which has a right adjoint. We can consider the above model structure and the corresponding homotopy categories with respect to the Nisnevich and *cdh*-sites as well.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{cdh} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B_{cdh}$ denote the map between the functorial fibrant replacements in the above model structure on presheaves of spectra on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ with respect to the *cdh*-topology. Let KH denote the presheaf of spectra on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $KH(X)$ is Weibel's homotopy invariant K-theory of X (see [77]).

The following is a direct consequence of the main result of [30].

Lemma 7.3. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. For every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a natural isomorphism $KH_p(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{cdh}(X, \mathcal{K}_{cdh})$.*

Proof. We have a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{cdh}(X)) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}^s_{cdh}(k)}(\Sigma^\infty_s(S^p_s \wedge X), \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{cdh}(k)}(S^p_s \wedge X, \mathcal{K}) \\ &\cong \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{cdh}(X, \mathcal{K}_{cdh}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

It is well known that the natural maps $K_p(X) \to \pi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{cdh}(X)) \to \pi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B_{cdh}(X))$ are isomorphisms for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ when X is smooth over k. In general, let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. We can find a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array} \tag{7.5}$$

where $X' \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and f is a proper birational morphism which is an isomorphism outside the closed immersion $Z \hookrightarrow X$. An induction on dimension of X and *cdh*-descent for $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{cdh}$ as well as $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B_{cdh}$ now show that the map $\pi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{cdh}(X)) \to \pi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B_{cdh}(X))$ is an isomorphism for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Composing the inverse of this isomorphism with the map in (7.4), we get a natural isomorphism $\pi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B_{cdh}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{cdh}(X, \mathcal{K}_{cdh})$.

On the other hand, it follows from [30, Thm. 6.4] that the natural map $KH(X) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^B_{cdh}(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence. We conclude that there is a natural isomorphism $\nu_X : KH_p(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{cdh}(X, \mathcal{K}_{cdh})$ for every $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Let $\mathcal{BGL}$ denote the simplicial presheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ given by $\mathcal{BGL}(X) = \mathrm{colim}_n\, BGL_n(\mathcal{O}(X))$. It is known (see [28, Prop. 2.15]) that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{K}|_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_\infty \mathcal{BGL}|_X$, where $\mathbb{Z}_\infty(-)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-completion functor of Bousfield-Kan.

To simplify the notation, for any integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will write $\Gamma(q)$ for the presheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ given by (see § 3):

$$\Gamma(q)(U) = \begin{cases} C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^q_k, 0)(U)[-2q] & \text{if } q \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } q < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is known that the restriction of $\Gamma(q)$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ is a sheaf (see, for instance, [51, Def. 16.1]). We let $\Gamma(q)[2q] \to \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q)$ denote a functorial fibrant replacement of $\Gamma(q)[2q]$ with respect to the Zariski local model structure, which is defined as in (2.8) with respect to the squares given by Zariski covers.

It follows from [1, Ex. 3.1] that $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q)$ is a cohomology theory on $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$ satisfying all conditions of [28, Defs. 1.1-1.2]. We conclude from Gillet's construction (see [28, §2, p. 225]) that for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k}$, there is a morphism of simplicial presheaves $C_q : \mathcal{BGL}|_X \to \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q)|_X$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sml}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X)$ which is natural in X. Composing this with $\mathcal{K}|_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_\infty \mathcal{BGL}|_X$ and using the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_\infty \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q) \cong \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q)$, we obtain a map

$$C_q : \mathcal{K}|_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_\infty \mathcal{BGL}|_X \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q)|_X \to \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q), 2q)|_X$$

in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sml}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X)$, where the last arrow is the projection.

Since $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)$ is fibrant in $Pre(\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{Zar}/k})$, it follows from 7.1 and [31, Prop. 8.5.3] that $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)|_X = \tau_{X*}(\mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q))$ is fibrant in $Pre(X_{\mathrm{Zar}})$. Since $\mathcal{K}|_X$ is cofibrant, Gillet's construction yields a map of simplicial presheaves (see [28, p. 225]) $C_q : \mathcal{K}|_X \to \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)|_X$ in $Pre(X_{\mathrm{Zar}})$. In particular, a map $\mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)(X)$. Furthermore, the naturality of the construction gives for any morphism $f : Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{Sm}_k$, a diagram that commutes up to homotopy (see, for instance, [1, 5.6.1])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{C_q} & \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)(X) \\ {\scriptstyle f^*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\ \mathcal{K}(Y) & \xrightarrow{C_q} & \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)(Y). \end{array} \tag{7.6}$$

Equivalently, there is a morphism of simplicial presheaves $C_q : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{big}}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(k)$ and hence a morphism in $(Sm_k)_{Nis}$ (see § 2.1). Pulling back C_q via the morphism of sites $\pi : (\mathbf{Sch}_k)_{cdh} \to (\mathbf{Sm}_k)_{Nis}$, and considering the cohomologies of the associated *cdh*-sheaves, we obtain for any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ and $p, q \geq 0$, the Chern class maps

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c^Z_{X,p,q} : \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{Z,cdh}(X, \mathcal{K}_{cdh}) & := & \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{Z,cdh}(X, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(\mathcal{K})) \\ & \to & \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{Z,cdh}(X, \mathbf{L}\pi^*(\mathcal{K}(\Gamma(q),2q))) \\ & = & \mathbb{H}^{-p}_{Z,cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^q_k, 0)_{cdh}) \\ & := & H^{2q-p}_Z(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)). \end{array} \tag{7.7}$$

It follows from 7.3 that $\mathbb{H}^{-p}_{Z,cdh}(X, \mathcal{K}_{cdh}) = KH^Z_p(X)$, where the $KH^Z(X)$ is the homotopy fiber of the map $KH(X) \to KH(X \setminus Z)$. Let (X, Z) denote the pair consisting of a scheme $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq X$. A map of pairs $f : (Y, W) \to (X, Z)$ is a morphism $f : Y \to X$ such that $f^{-1}(Z) \subseteq W$. We have then shown the following.

Theorem 7.8. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Then for any pair (X, Z) in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ and for any $p \geq 0, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are Chern class homomorphisms*

$$c^Z_{X,p,q} : KH^Z_p(X) \to H^{2q-p}_Z(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$$

such that the composition of $c^X_{X,0,0}$ with $K_0(X) \to KH_0(X)$ is the rank map. For any map of pairs $f : (Y, W) \to (X, Z)$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KH^Z_p(X) & \xrightarrow{c^Z_{X,p,q}} & H^{2q-p}_Z(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \\ {\scriptstyle f^*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\ KH^W_p(Y) & \xrightarrow{c^W_{Y,p,q}} & H^{2q-p}_W(Y, \mathbb{Z}(q)). \end{array} \tag{7.9}$$

7.10. **Chern classes from KH-theory to Deligne cohomology.** Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ denote the category of schemes which are separated and of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ with the Zariski topology. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$ the same category but with the Nisnevich topology. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{an}}$ denote the category of complex analytic spaces with the analytic topology. There is a morphism of sites $\epsilon : \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$. For any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Gamma(q)$ denote the complex of sheaves on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ defined as follows:

$$\Gamma(q) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q) & \text{if } q \geq 0 \\ \mathbf{R}\epsilon_*((2\pi\sqrt{-1})\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } q < 0, \end{cases} \tag{7.11}$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)$ is the Deligne-Beilinson complex on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ in the sense of [23]. Then $\Gamma(q)$ is a cohomology theory on $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying Gillet's conditions for a theory of Chern classes (see,

for instance, [1, Ex. 3.4]). Applying the argument of 7.8 in verbatim, we obtain the Chern class homomorphisms

$$c^Z_{X,p,q} : KH^Z_p(X) \to \mathbb{H}^{2q-p}_{Z,cdh}(X, (\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh}) \tag{7.12}$$

for a pair of schemes (X, Z) in $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is natural in (X, Z).

Let us now fix a scheme $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Recall from [21, § 6.2.5-6.2.8] that a smooth proper hypercovering of X is a smooth simplicial scheme $X_\bullet$ with a map of simplicial schemes $p_X : X_\bullet \to X$ such each map $X_i \to X$ is proper and p_X satisfies the universal cohomological descent in the sense of [21]. The resolution of singularities implies that such a hypercovering exists. The Deligne cohomology of X is defined to be [21, 5.1.11]

$$H^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) := \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathbf{R}p_{X*}\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)) = \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X_\bullet, \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)). \tag{7.13}$$

Gillet's theory of Chern classes gives rise to the Chern class homomorphisms

$$c^Q_{X,p,q} : K_p(X) \to H^{2q-p}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \tag{7.14}$$

for any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is contravariant functorial, where $K_i(X) = \pi_i(\Omega BQP(X))$ is the Quillen K-theory (see, for instance, [4, § 2.4]). Our objective is to show that these Chern classes actually factor through the natural map $K_*(X) \to KH_*(X)$.

The construction of the Chern classes from KH-theory to the Deligne cohomology (see 7.20 below) will be achieved by the *cdh*-sheafification of Gillet's Chern classes at the level of presheaves of simplicial sets, followed by considering the induced maps on the hypercohomologies. Therefore, in order to factor the classical Chern classes $c^Q_{X,p,q}$ on Quillen K-theory through KH-theory, we only need to identify the target of the Chern class maps in (7.12) with the Deligne cohomology.

To do this, we let for any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $H^*_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathcal{F})$ denote the cohomology of the analytic space X_{an} with coefficient in the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{an}}$. Let $\mathbb{Z} \to Sing^*$ denote a fibrant replacement of the sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{an}}$ so that $\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \epsilon_*(Sing^*)$. Set $\mathbb{Z}(q) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^q\epsilon_*(Sing^*) \cong \mathbf{R}\epsilon_*(\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 7.15. *For any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the map $H^p_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)_{cdh})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $H^p_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$, it suffices to show that the map $\mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)_{cdh})$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ denote the category of schemes which are separated and of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and a map $Y' \to Y$ is a covering in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ if the associated map of the analytic spaces is a local isomorphism of the topological spaces. This makes $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ into a Grothendieck site. Since a Nisnevich cover of schemes is a local isomorphism of the associated analytic spaces, there is a commutative diagram of morphisms of sites:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{an}} \\ {\scriptstyle\nu}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\epsilon} \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Nis}} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Zar}}. \end{array} \tag{7.16}$$

Since every local isomorphism of analytic spaces is refined by open coverings, it is well known that the map $\mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathcal{F}^*) \to H^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, \mathcal{F}^*)$ is an isomorphism for any complex of sheaves on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{an}}$ (see, for instance, [52, Prop. 3.3, Thm. 3.12]).

We set $(\mathbb{Z}(q))_{Nis} = \tau^*(\mathbb{Z}(q)) = \nu_* \circ \delta^*(Sing^*)$. We observe that for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^i$ associated to the complex $\mathbb{Z}(q)$ is isomorphic to the Zariski (or Nisnevich) sheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H^i_{\mathrm{an}}(U, \mathbb{Z})$. But this latter presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is homotopy invariant with transfers. It follows from [69, Cor. 1.1.1] that the map

$\mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{Nis}(X,(\mathbb{Z}(q))_{Nis})$ is an isomorphism. We are thus reduced to showing that the map $\mathbb{H}^p_{Nis}(X,(\mathbb{Z}(q))_{Nis}) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X,(\mathbb{Z}(q))_{cdh})$ is an isomorphism for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

But this follows again from [69, Cor. 1.1.1, 5.12.3, Thm. 5.13] because each $\mathcal{H}^i \cong \mathbf{R}^i\nu_*(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Nisnevich sheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to the homotopy invariant presheaf with transfers $U \mapsto H^i_{\mathrm{an}}(U,\mathbb{Z})$. The proof is complete. □

For any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$, there are natural maps

$$H^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \cong \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X_\bullet,\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{Nis}(X_\bullet,(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{Nis}) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X_\bullet,(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh}). \tag{7.17}$$

Lemma 7.18. *For a projective scheme X over $\mathbb{C}$, the map $H^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X_\bullet,(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Our assumption implies that each component X_p of the simplicial scheme $X_\bullet$ is smooth and projective. Given a complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}^*_\bullet$ (in Zariski or *cdh*-topology), there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{H}^q_{\mathrm{Zar}/cdh}(X_p,(\mathcal{F}^*_p)_{\mathrm{Zar}/cdh}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}_{\mathrm{Zar}/cdh}(X_\bullet,(\mathcal{F}^*_\bullet)_{\mathrm{Zar}/cdh}),$$

(see, for instance, [1, Appendix]). Using this spectral sequence and (7.17), it suffices to show that the map $H^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)) \to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X,(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh})$ is an isomorphism for any smooth projective scheme X over $\mathbb{C}$. For $q \leq 0$, this follows from 7.15. So we assume $q > 0$.

Since X is smooth and projective, the analytic Deligne complex $\mathbb{Z}(q)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the complex of analytic sheaves $\mathbb{Z}(q) \to \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} \to \Omega^1_{X_{\mathrm{an}}} \to \cdots \to \Omega^{q-1}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}$. In particular, there is a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*(\Omega^{<q}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}}[-1]) \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q) \to \mathbb{Z}(q) \to \mathbf{R}\epsilon_*(\Omega^{<q}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})$$

in the derived category of sheaves on X_{Zar}.

Since X is projective, it follows from GAGA that the natural map $\Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbf{R}\epsilon_*(\Omega^{<q}_{X_{\mathrm{an}}})$ is an isomorphism in the derived category of sheaves on X_{Zar}. In particular, we get a distinguished triangle in the derived category of sheaves on X_{Zar}:

$$\Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}}[-1] \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q) \to \mathbb{Z}(q) \to \Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}}. \tag{7.19}$$

We thus have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{H}^{p-1}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{p-1}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}}) & \longrightarrow & H^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)) \longrightarrow \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{H}^{p-1}_{cdh}(X,(\mathbb{Z}(q))_{cdh}) & \to & \mathbb{H}^{p-1}_{cdh}(X,(\Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}})_{cdh}) & \to & H^p_{cdh}(X,(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh}) \to
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\to \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X,(\mathbb{Z}(q))_{cdh}) & \to & \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X,(\Omega^{<q}_{X/\mathbb{C}})_{cdh}).
\end{array}$$

It follows from 7.15 that the first and the fourth vertical arrows from the left are isomorphisms. The second and the fifth vertical arrows are isomorphisms by [16, Cor. 2.5]. We conclude that the middle vertical arrow is also an isomorphism and this completes the proof. □

As a combination of 7.3, (7.14) and 7.18, we obtain a theory of Chern classes from KH-theory to Deligne cohomology as follows.

Theorem 7.20. *For every projective scheme X over $\mathbb{C}$, there are Chern class homomorphisms*

$$c_{X,p,q} : KH_p(X) \to H^{2q-p}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$$

such that for any morphism of projective $\mathbb{C}$-schemes $f : Y \to X$, one has $f^* \circ c_{X,p,q} = c_{Y,p,q} \circ f^*$.

Proof. We only need to show that there is a natural isomorphism $\alpha_X : \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X, (\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$.

Given a morphism of projective $\mathbb{C}$-schemes $f : Y \to X$, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & X_\bullet \\ {\scriptstyle p_Y}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_X} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the simplicial hypercoverings maps. In particular, there is a commutative diagram



Using 7.18, we get a map $\alpha_X : \mathbb{H}^p_{cdh}(X, (\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q))_{cdh}) \to H^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$ such that $f^* \circ \alpha_X = \alpha_Y \circ f^*$ for any $f : Y \to X$ as above. Moreover, we have shown in the proof of 7.18 that this map is an isomorphism if $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the source as well as the target of α_X satisfy *cdh*-descent by 7.18 (see [69, Lem. 12.1]), we conclude as in the proof of 7.3 that α_X is an isomorphism for every projective $\mathbb{C}$-scheme X. □

8. Applications II: Intermediate Jacobian and Abel-Jacobi map for singular schemes

Recall that a very important object in the study of the geometric part of motivic cohomology of smooth projective varieties is an intermediate Jacobian. The intermediate Jacobians were defined by Griffiths and they receive the Abel-Jacobi maps from certain subgroups of the geometric part $H^{2*}(X, \mathbb{Z}(*))$ of the motivic cohomology groups.

A special case of these intermediate Jacobians is the Albanese variety of a smooth projective variety. The most celebrated result about the Albanese variety in the context of algebraic cycles is that the Abel-Jacobi map from the group of 0-cycles of degree zero to the Albanese variety is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups. This theorem of Roitman tells us that the torsion part of the Chow group of 0-cycles on a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ can be identified with the torsion subgroup of an abelian variety.

Roitman's torsion theorem has had enormous applications in the theory of algebraic cycles and algebraic K-theory. For example, it was predicted as part of the conjectures of Bloch and Beilinson that the Chow group of 0-cycles on smooth affine varieties of dimension at least two should be torsion-free. This is now a consequence of Roitman's torsion theorem. We hope to use the Roitman's torsion theorem of this paper to answer the analogous question about the

motivic cohomology $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ of a d-dimensional singular affine variety in a future project. It was predicted as part of the relation between algebraic K-theory and motivic cohomology that the Chow group of 0-cycles should be (integrally) a subgroup of the Grothendieck group. This is also now a consequence of Roitman's theorem. We shall prove the analogue of this for singular schemes in the next section. Recall that the Riemann-Roch theorem says that this inclusion of the Chow group inside the Grothendieck group is always true rationally. For applications concerning the relation between Chow groups and étale cohomology, see [11].

As an application of the theory of Chern classes from KH-theory to Deligne cohomology, we construct these intermediate Jacobians and Abel-Jacobi maps from the geometric part of the motivic cohomology of any singular projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. We prove Roitman torsion theorem for these Abel-Jacobi maps. As an application of this, we show that the cycle map from the geometric part of motivic cohomology to the KH groups constructed in 6.9, is injective.

8.1. **The Abel-Jacobi map.** In the rest of this section, we shall consider all schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ and mostly deal with projective schemes. Let X be a projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension d. Let $X_{\rm sing}$ and $X_{\rm reg}$ denote the singular (with the reduced induced subscheme structure) and the smooth loci of X, respectively. Let r denote the number of d-dimensional irreducible components of X. We shall fix a resolution of singularities $f : \widetilde{X} \to X$ and let $E = f^{-1}(X_{\rm sing})$ throughout this section. The following is an immediate consequence of the *cdh*-descent for Deligne cohomology.

Lemma 8.2. *For any integer $q \geq d+1$, one has $H^{q+d+i}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. If X is smooth, it follows immediately from (7.19). In general, the *cdh*-descent for Deligne cohomology (see 7.18 or [4, Variant 3.2]) implies that there is an exact sequence

$$H^{q+d+i-1}_{\mathcal{D}}(E, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \to H^{q+d+i}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \to H^{q+d+i}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \oplus H^{q+d+i}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_{\rm sing}, \mathbb{Z}(q)).$$

We conclude the proof by using this exact sequence and induction on $\dim(X)$. □

It follows from the definition of the Deligne cohomology that there is a natural map of complexes $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(q)|_X \to \mathbb{Z}(q)|_X$ (see (7.19)) and in particular, there is a natural map $H^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} H^p_{\rm an}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$. For any integer $0 \leq q \leq d$, the *intermediate Jacobian* $J^q(X)$ is defined so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to J^q(X) \to H^{2q}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} H^{2q}_{\rm an}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)).$$

It follows from 7.20 that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} KH_0(X) & \xrightarrow{c_{X,d,0}} & H^{2d}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} & H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\ KH_0(\widetilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{c_{X,d,0}} & H^{2d}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\widetilde{X}}} & H^{2d}_{\rm an}(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}(d)). \end{array} \tag{8.3}$$

It follows from (7.19) that $\kappa_{\widetilde{X}}$ is surjective. The *cdh*-descent for the Deligne cohomology and 8.2 together imply that the middle vertical arrow in (8.3) is surjective. The cdh-excision property of singular cohomology (see [21, 8.3.10]) yields an exact sequence

$$H^{2d-1}_{\rm an}(E, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}_{\rm an}(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \oplus H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X_{\rm sing}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d+1}_{\rm an}(E, \mathbb{Z}(d)).$$

Since $X_{\rm sing}$ and E are projective schemes of dimension at most $d-1$, it follows that the right vertical arrow in (8.3) is an isomorphism. We conclude that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to J^d(X) \to H^{2d}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to 0. \tag{8.4}$$

A similar Mayer-Vietoris property of the motivic cohomology yields an exact sequence

$$H^{2d-1}(E,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d)) \oplus H^{2d}(X_{\rm sing},\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d+1}(E,\mathbb{Z}(d)).$$

It follows from 6.1 that $H^{2d}(X_{\rm sing},\mathbb{Z}(d)) = H^{2d+1}(E,\mathbb{Z}(d)) = 0$. In particular, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{H^{2d-1}(E,\mathbb{Z}(d))}{H^{2d-1}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d)) + H^{2d-1}(X_{\rm sing},\mathbb{Z}(d))} \to H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to 0. \tag{8.5}$$

Since the map $H^{2d}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d)) \cong {\rm CH}^d(\widetilde{X}) \to H^{2d}_{\rm an}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d))$ is the degree map which is surjective, we conclude that the 'degree' map $H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d))$ is also surjective. We let $A^d(X)$ denote the kernel of this degree map.

It follows from 7.20 that there is a Chern class map (take $p = 0$) $c_{X,q} : KH_0(X) \to H^{2q}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q))$. Theorem 6.9 says that the spectral sequence (5.21) induces a cycle class map $cyc_{X,0} : H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to KH_0(X)$. Composing the two maps, we get a cycle class map from motivic to Deligne cohomology

$$\widetilde{c}^d_X : H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2q}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \tag{8.6}$$

and a commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \to & A^d(X) & \to & H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) & \to & H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle {\rm AJ}^d_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{c}^d_X} & & \| \\ 0 & \to & J^d(X) & \to & H^{2q}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)) & \to & H^{2d}_{\rm an}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to 0. \end{array} \tag{8.7}$$

It is known that $J^d(X)$ is a semi-abelian variety whose abelian variety quotient is the classical Albanese variety of $\widetilde{X}$ (see [9, Thm. 1.1] or [5]). The induced map ${\rm AJ}^d_X : A^d(X) \to J^d(X)$ will be called the *Abel-Jacobi* map for the singular scheme X. We shall prove our main result about this Abel-Jacobi map in the next section.

8.8. **Levine-Weibel Chow group and motivic cohomology.** In order to prove our main theorem on the Abel-Jacobi map from the motivic cohomology to the intermediate-Jacobian, we need to compare this motivic cohomology of singular schemes with another 'motivic cohomology', called the (cohomological) Chow-group of 0-cycles, introduced by Levine and Weibel [49]. We shall assume throughout our discussion that X is a reduced projective scheme of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$. However, we remark that the following definition of the Chow group of 0-cycles makes sense over any ground field. This definition over general fields will be used in § 10. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ denote the free abelian group on the closed points of $X_{\rm reg}$.

Definition 8.9. Let C be a pure dimension one reduced scheme in $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We shall say that a pair (C,Z) is *a good curve relative to* X if there exists a finite morphism $\nu\colon C \to X$ and a closed proper subscheme $Z \subsetneq C$ such that the following hold.

(1) No component of C is contained in Z.
(2) $\nu^{-1}(X_{\rm sing}) \cup C_{\rm sing} \subseteq Z$.
(3) ν is local complete intersection morphism at every point $x \in C$ such that $\nu(x) \in X_{\rm sing}$.

Let (C,Z) be a good curve relative to X and let $\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_r\}$ be the set of generic points of C. Let $\mathcal{O}_{C,Z}$ denote the semilocal ring of C at $S = Z \cup \{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_r\}$. Let $\mathbb{C}(C)$ denote the ring of total quotients of C and write $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}$ for the group of units in $\mathcal{O}_{C,Z}$. Notice that $\mathcal{O}_{C,Z}$ coincides with $k(C)$ if $|Z| = \emptyset$. As C is Cohen-Macaulay, $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}$ is the subgroup of $k(C)^{\times}$ consisting of those f which are regular and invertible in the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$ for every $x \in Z$.

Given any $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}(C)^{\times}$, we denote by $\mathrm{div}(f)$ the divisor of zeros and poles of f on C, that is defined as follows. If $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ are the irreducible components of C, we set $\mathrm{div}(f)$ to be the 0-cycle $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{div}(f_i)$, where $(f_1, \cdots, f_r) = \theta_{(C,Z)}(f)$ and $\mathrm{div}(f_i)$ is the usual divisor of a rational function on an integral curve in the sense of [25]. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z)$ denote the free abelian group on the closed points of $C \setminus Z$. As f is an invertible regular function on C along Z, $\mathrm{div}(f) \in \mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z)$.

By definition, given any good curve (C, Z) relative to X, we have a pushforward map $\mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z) \xrightarrow{\nu_*} \mathcal{Z}_0(X)$. We shall write $\mathcal{R}_0(C, Z, X)$ for the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the set $\{\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) | f \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{BK}_0(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the image of the map $\mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z, X) \to \mathcal{Z}_0(X)$, where $\mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z, X)$ runs through all good curves. We let $\mathrm{CH}^{BK}_0(X) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_0(X)}{\mathcal{R}^{BK}_0(X)}$.

If we let $\mathcal{R}^{LW}_0(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the divisors of rational functions on good curves as above, where we further assume that the map $\nu : C \to X$ is a closed immersion, then the resulting quotient group $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)/\mathcal{R}^{LW}_0(X)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{CH}^{LW}_0(X)$. There is a canonical surjection $\mathrm{CH}^{LW}_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{BK}_0(X)$. However, we can say more about this map in the present context. This comparison will be an essential ingredient in the proof of 9.1.

Theorem 8.10. *For a projective scheme X over $\mathbb{C}$, the map $\mathrm{CH}^{LW}_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{BK}_0(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. See [7, Def. 3.3, Lem. 3.4, Thm. 3.16]. □

In the rest of this text, we shall identify the above two Chow groups for projective schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ and write them as $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$. There is a degree map $deg_X : \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \to H^{2d}_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$. Let $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\mathrm{deg}\ 0}$ denote the kernel of this degree map.

The following is a special case of the more general construction of [8].

Lemma 8.11. *There is a canonical map $\gamma_X : \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \to H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ which restricts to a map $\gamma_X : \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\mathrm{deg}\ 0} \to A^d(X)$.*

Proof. We let U denote the smooth locus of X and let $x \in U$ be a closed point. The excision for the local cohomology with support in a closed subscheme tells us that the map $\mathbb{H}^0_{\{x\},cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)_{cdh}) \to \mathbb{H}^0_{\{x\},cdh}(U, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)_{cdh})$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the purity theorem for the motivic cohomology of smooth schemes and the isomorphism between the motivic cohomology and higher Chow groups [72] imply that the map $\mathbb{H}^0_{\{x\},cdh}(U, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)_{cdh}) \to \mathbb{H}^0_{cdh}(U, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)_{cdh})$ is same as the map of the Chow groups $\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathrm{CH}_0(\{x\}) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(U)$. In particular, we obtain a map

$$\gamma_x : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{H}^0_{\{x\},cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)_{cdh}) \to \mathbb{H}^0_{cdh}(X, C_* z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)_{cdh}) = H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)).$$

We let $\gamma_X([x])$ be the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ under this map. This yields a homomorphism $\gamma_X : \mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$. We now show that this map kills $\mathcal{R}_0(X)$.

We first assume that X is a reduced curve. In this case, an easy application of the spectral sequence of 5.20 and the vanishing result of 6.1 shows that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to KH_0(X) \to H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}(0)) \to 0. \tag{8.12}$$

Using the isomorphism $H^0(X,\mathbb{Z}(0)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0_{\rm an}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and the natural map $K_*(X) \to KH_*(X)$, we have a commutative diagram of the short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(X) & \longrightarrow & K_0(X) & \longrightarrow & H^0_{\rm an}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \to & H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}(1)) & \to & KH_0(X) & \to & H^0_{\rm an}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \end{array} \tag{8.13}$$

It follows from [7, Lem. 3.11] that the map $\mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to K_0(X)$ given by $cyc_X([x]) = [\mathcal{O}_{\{x\}}] \in K_0(X)$ defines an isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}^1(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Note that $x \in U$ and hence the class $[\mathcal{O}_{\{x\}}]$ in $K_0(X)$ makes sense. We conclude from this isomorphism and (8.13) that the composite map $\mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to K_0(X) \to KH_0(X)$ has image in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}(1))$ and it factors through $\mathrm{CH}^1(X)$.

We now assume $d \geq 2$ and let $\nu : (C,Z) \to X$ be a good curve and let $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}$. We need to show that $\gamma_X(\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f))) = 0$. By [7, Lem. 3.4], we can assume that ν is an lci morphism. In particular, there is a functorial push-forward map $\nu_* : H^2(C,\mathbb{Z}(1)) \to H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d))$ by 3.13 and [56, Def. 2.32, Thm. 2.33]. We thus have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overset{\gamma_C}{\frown} & & \\ \mathcal{Z}_0(C,Z) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \oplus_{x\notin Z} H^0(\{x\},\mathbb{Z}(0)) & \longrightarrow & H^2(C,\mathbb{Z}(1)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu_*} \\ \mathcal{Z}_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \oplus_{x\notin X_{\rm sing}} H^0(\{x\},\mathbb{Z}(0)) & \to & H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)). \\ & & \underset{\gamma_X}{\smile} & & \end{array} \tag{8.14}$$

The two horizontal arrows on the right are the push-forward maps on the motivic cohomology since the inclusion $\{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ is an lci morphism for every $x \notin X_{\rm sing}$. We have shown that $\gamma_C(\mathrm{div}(f)) = 0$ and hence $\gamma_X(\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f))) = \nu_*(\gamma_C((\mathrm{div}(f)))) = 0$. Furthermore, the composite $\mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}_{\rm an}(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}(d)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ is the degree map. This shows that $\gamma_X(\mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0}) \subseteq A^d(X)$. □

Remark 8.15. It is well known that the map $\gamma_X : \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \cong \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d))$ is an isomorphism when X is smooth. In general, this map is known to have non-trivial kernel (e.g., take X to be a curve with cusp singularity). We shall say more about this map for singular schemes later in this text.

9. Applications III: Roitman torsion and cycle class map

In this section, we wish to prove the following main theorem on the Abel-Jacobi map and the torsion in the motivic cohomology of singular schemes. We shall apply this theorem to prove the injectivity of the cycle class map from the motivic cohomology to KH-theory.

Theorem 9.1. *Let X be a projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension d. There is a semi-abelian variety $J^d(X)$ and an Abel-Jacobi map $\mathrm{AJ}^d_X : A^d(X) \to J^d(X)$ which is surjective and its restriction to the torsion subgroups $\mathrm{AJ}^d_X : A^d(X)_{\rm tors} \to J^d(X)_{\rm tors}$ is an isomorphism.*

We begin with the observation that the motivic as well as the Deligne cohomology of X depend only on its reduced structure. We can therefore assume that X is reduced.

The trick to prove 9.1 is to relate the motivic cohomology $H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}(d))$ with the Chow group of 0-cycles $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ introduced in § 8.8. and use the Roitman torsion theorem for this Chow group proven in [9]. We need to prove several steps to complete the proof of the above theorem.

Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ denote the smooth locus of X. The localization sequence for the motivic cohomology with compact support (see [24, § 9, p. 185]) yields an exact sequence

$$H^{2d-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}_c(U, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \xrightarrow{j_*} H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}, \mathbb{Z}(d)), \tag{9.2}$$

where $H^i_c(U, \mathbb{Z}(j))$ denote the motivic cohomology groups with compact support and we have identified these with the usual motivic cohomology of X and X_{sing} because they are both projective. It follows from 6.1 that $H^{2d}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) = 0$. On the other hand, it follows from [24, § 9, p. 186] and [51, Prop. 14.18] that $H^{2d}_c(U, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \cong H_0(U, \mathbb{Z}(0)) \cong H^{sus}_0(U)$, where the latter two are the motivic homology and the Suslin homology of U, respectively. The above exact sequence thus reduces to an exact sequence

$$H^{2d-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{sus}_0(U) \xrightarrow{j_*} H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to 0. \tag{9.3}$$

Let us now recall from [68] that $H^{sus}_*(U)$ is the homology of the Suslin complex $C^{sus}_*(X)$, where $C^{sus}_n(X)$ is the free abelian group generated by those integral closed subschemes $Z \subseteq X \times \Delta^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the projection map $Z \to \Delta^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ is finite and surjective. In particular, we have $C^{sus}_0(U) = \mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ and an exact sequence

$$C^{sus}_1(U) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to H^{sus}_0(U) \to 0.$$

The Suslin homology $H^{sus}_*(-)$ is a covariant functor on $\mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$. For any closed point $\iota : \{x\} \hookrightarrow U$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^{sus}_0(\{x\}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(\{x\}, \mathbb{Z}(0)) \\ & \swarrow^{\iota_*} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_*} \\ \mathcal{Z}_0(X) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^{sus}_0(U) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \\ & \searrow & \vdots & \nearrow_{\gamma_X} & \\ & & \mathrm{CH}^d(X). & & \end{array} \tag{9.4}$$

Lemma 9.5. *The composite map $\mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to H^{sus}_0(U) \to H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ admits a factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(X) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^{sus}_0(U) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_X} & \nearrow_{\gamma_X} & \\ & & \mathrm{CH}^d(X) & & \end{array} \tag{9.6}$$

making (9.4) *commute. The map δ_X as well as its restriction to the torsion subgroups is surjective.*

Proof. Note that the outer diagram in (9.4) commutes by the definition of γ_X. So the first part of the lemma is equivalent to showing that $\partial(C^{sus}_1(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{BK}_0(X)$. Notice that U is a disjoint union of the smooth loci of the d-dimensional irreducible components of X. The degree map $\mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to H^{2d}_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ factors through the quotient $H^{sus}_0(U)$ (see [66, § 2]). In particular, $\partial(C^{sus}_1(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}^r)$. We already know that $\mathcal{R}^{BK}_0(X) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0}$. We let $H^{sus}_0(U)_{\deg\ 0} = \mathrm{Ker}(H^{sus}_0(U) \twoheadrightarrow H^{2d}_{\mathrm{an}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)))$.

Let $J^d(U)$ denote the Albanese variety of U in the sense of Serre [65]. This is a semi-abelian variety which is universal for morphisms from U into semi-abelian varieties. Recall from [50,

Def. 1, Rmk. 1] and [66, § 3, 4] that the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$ makes $J^d(X)$ a natural quotient of $J^d(U)$ via the following commutative diagram of exact sequences of algebraic groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \to & \Lambda_{2,X}^{\vee} & \to & J^d(U) & \to & J^d(\widetilde{X}) \to 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_X} & & \| \\ 0 & \to & \Lambda_X^{\vee} & \to & J^d(X) & \to & J^d(\widetilde{X}) \to 0. \end{array} \tag{9.7}$$

We fix a closed point $P \in U$. The universality of $J^d(\widetilde{X})$ and $J^d(U)$ tells us that there are morphisms of schemes $\pi : U \to J^d(U) \to J^d(\widetilde{X})$ and one knows that the induced map $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0} \to J^d(U)$, given by $\mathrm{AJ}_U^d(\sum_i n_i P_i) = \sum_i n_i(\pi(P_i) - \pi(P))$, is independent of the choice of P. It follows from [66, Lem. 3.1] that this map factors through $H_0^{sus}(U)_{\deg\ 0}$ and it follows from [50, § 3.2, Thm. 11] that the composite $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0} \to J^d(U) \to J^d(\widetilde{X})$ lifts to $J^d(X)$ and this lift in turn factors through $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0}$. We denote this map by $\mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q}$. We then have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0} & & \\ {\scriptstyle \beta_U}\downarrow & \searrow^{\beta_X} & \\ H_0^{sus}(U)_{\deg\ 0} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{AJ}_U^d}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q}} \\ J^d(U) & \xrightarrow[\alpha_X]{} & J^d(X) \end{array} \tag{9.8}$$

in which the outer trapezium commutes.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0}$ be such that $\beta_U(\alpha) \in H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{tors}} = (H_0^{sus}(U)_{\deg\ 0})_{\mathrm{tors}}$. Then $\mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q} \circ \beta_X(\alpha) = \alpha_X \circ \mathrm{AJ}_U^d \circ \beta_U(\alpha) \in J^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$. But this implies by [9, Thm. 1.1] that $\beta_X(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}} = (\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0})_{\mathrm{tors}}$. Set $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)^{t_U}_{\deg\ 0} = \beta_U^{-1}(H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{tors}})$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)^{t_X}_{\deg\ 0} = \beta_X^{-1}(\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}})$. Then we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(X)^{t_U}_{\deg\ 0} & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & \mathcal{Z}_0(X)^{t_X}_{\deg\ 0} \\ {\scriptstyle \beta_U^t}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_X^t} \\ H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{tors}} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{AJ}_U^d}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q}} \\ J^d(U)_{\mathrm{tors}} & \xrightarrow[\alpha_X]{} & J^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}, \end{array} \tag{9.9}$$

in which the outer square commutes. The bottom horizontal map is surjective because any surjective morphism of semi-abelian varieties induces surjective map on the torsion subgroups (see, for instance, [44, Lem. 2.7]).

Let us now take any $\alpha \in \partial(C_1^{sus}(U)) = \mathrm{Ker}(\beta_U^t)$. Then $\mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q} \circ \beta_X^t \circ \phi_X(\alpha) = \alpha_X \circ \mathrm{AJ}_U^d \circ \beta_U^t(\alpha) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q}$ is an isomorphism, as noted above, this implies that $\beta_X^t \circ \phi_X(\alpha) = 0$. Equivalently, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}(\beta_X^t) = \mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$.

The surjectivity of δ_X follows from (9.8). Its surjectivity at the level of torsion subgroups follows from (9.9) since $\mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q}$ is an isomorphism on the torsion parts and the same holds for AJ_U^d by [66, Thm. 1.1]. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 9.10. *There is a cycle class map $cyc^Q_{X,0} : \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \to K_0(X)$ and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^d(X) & \xrightarrow{cyc^Q_{X,0}} & K_0(X) \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma_X}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) & \xrightarrow{cyc_{X,0}} & KH_0(X). \end{array} \tag{9.11}$$

Proof. Every closed point $x \in U$ defines the natural map $\mathbb{Z} = K_0(\{x\}) = K_0^{\{x\}}(X) \to K_0(X)$ and hence a class $[\mathcal{O}_{\{x\}}] \in K_0(X)$. This defines a map $cyc^Q_{X,0} : \mathcal{Z}_0(X) \to K_0(X)$ and it factors through $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ by [49, Prop. 2.1]. Since $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is generated by the images of the closed points in U by 9.5 via γ_X, it suffices to show that for every closed point $x \in U$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0^{\{x\}}(X) & \longrightarrow & K_0(X) \\ \| & & \downarrow \\ KH_0^{\{x\}}(X) & \longrightarrow & KH_0(X) \end{array} \tag{9.12}$$

commutes. But this is clear from the functorial properties of the map of presheaves $K(-) \to KH(-)$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Lemma 9.13. *The map $H_0^{sus}(U) \xrightarrow{j_*} H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ in (9.3) is surjective on the torsion subgroups. In particular, γ_X is surjective on the torsion subgroups.*

Proof. There is nothing to prove if $d = 0$ and so we assume $d \geq 1$. It follows from 9.5 that $j_* = \gamma_X \circ \delta_X$ and hence γ_X will be surjective on the torsion subgroups if j_* is so. Using (9.3), j_* will be surjective on the torsion subgroups if $H^{2d-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is divisible. So we are reduced to showing this divisibility. If $\dim(X_{\mathrm{sing}}) \leq d-2$, then $H^{2d-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) = 0$ by 6.1. So we assume that $\dim(X_{\mathrm{sing}}) = d-1$. In this case, we have $2d-1 = d + \dim(X_{\mathrm{sing}})$, so it suffices to prove by induction on dimension, the more general statement that if $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has dimension less than d, then $H^{d+\dim_Y}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is divisible.

We can assume that Y is reduced. If $\dim(Y) = 0$, then $H^d(Y, \mathbb{Z}(d)) = \mathrm{CH}^d(Y, d)$ is a sum of finitely many copies of $\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}, d) \cong K_d^M(\mathbb{C})$ (by Nesterenko-Suslin and Totaro) and the latter is known to be divisible. Assume now that $\dim(Y) \geq 1$ and let $f : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ be a resolution of singularities of Y. Let $Z = f^{-1}(Y_{\mathrm{sing}})$ be the reduced exceptional divisor. The Mayer-Vietoris property of the motivic cohomology and 6.1 give us an exact sequence

$$H^{d+\dim(Z)}(Z, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{d+\dim(Y)}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{d+\dim(\widetilde{Y})}(\widetilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to 0. \tag{9.14}$$

The term on the left of this exact sequence is divisible by induction. So we are reduced to proving our assertion when Y is smooth. In this case, we have $H^{d+\dim(Y)}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \cong \mathrm{CH}^d(Y, d - \dim(Y))$ by [72]. So we need to show that $\mathrm{CH}^{\dim(Y)+n}(Y, n)$ is divisible for every $n \geq 1$.

Set $\square^n_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{1\})^n$ an let $x \in Y \times \square^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a closed point not contained in any face of $\square^n_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let z denote the image of this closed point under the projection $Y \times \square^n_{\mathbb{C}} \to Y$. Then $[x] \in \mathrm{CH}^n(\{z\}, n) \cong \mathrm{CH}^n(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{C}), n)$ and the class of x in $\mathrm{CH}^{\dim(Y)+n}(Y, n)$ lies in the image of the push-forward map $\mathrm{CH}^n(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{C}), n) \to \mathrm{CH}^{\dim(Y)+n}(Y, n)$. Since we have shown above that $\mathrm{CH}^n(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{C}), n)$ is divisible for $n \geq 1$ and since $\mathrm{CH}^{\dim(Y)+n}(Y, n)$ is generated by closed points x as above, we are done. □

Proof of Theorem 9.1: We only need to prove that AJ^d_X is surjective and its restriction to the torsion subgroups is an isomorphism. The map AJ^d_X is induced by the Chern class map $c_{X,d,0} : KH_0(X) \to H^{2d}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ and the composite map $K_0(X) \to KH_0(X) \to H^{2d}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is the Gillet's Chern class map $C^Q_{X,d,0}$ of (7.14). Composing these maps with the cycle class maps and using 9.10, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_X} & A^d(X) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mathrm{AJ}^d_X \\ & & J^d(X). \end{array} \tag{9.15}$$

For X as in the theorem, a generalized Albanese variety Alb_X was constructed in [22] which is a commutative algebraic group and there is an Abel-Jacobi map $alb_X : \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0} \to \mathrm{Alb}_X$. It was shown in [50] (see the outline of its main proofs) that $J^d(X)$ is the universal semi-abelian quotient of Alb_X and the Abel-Jacobi map $\mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X$ of (9.8) is the composition of alb_X with this universal quotient map. It follows from [43, Lem. 2.2] that $\mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X$ coincides (up to sign) with the composite map $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0} \to J^d(X)$ of (9.15), induced by the Chern class map $C^Q_{X,d,0}$. We therefore denote this composite map also by $\mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X$.

The map $\mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X$ is surjective and isomorphism on the torsion subgroups by [9, Thm. 1.1]. We conclude from (9.15) and 9.13 that AJ^d_X is surjective and isomorphism on the torsion subgroups. This finishes the proof of 9.1. □

Remark 9.16. It was shown in [6, Cor. 13.7.5] using some non-trivial motivic arguments that there is an isomorphism $A^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}} \cong J^d(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$. But we do not know if this isomorphism is induced by the Chern classes and Abel-Jacobi maps on all of the motivic cohomology, as above.

As a consequence of the proof of 9.1, we obtain the following comparison between $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ and $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ for a singular scheme.

Corollary 9.17. *Let X be a projective scheme of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the map $\gamma_X : \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \to H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ has the following properties.*

1. *It is surjective.*
2. *It is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.*
3. *$\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma_X)$ is uniquely divisible.*

Proof. Since $\gamma_X \circ \delta_X = j_*$ by 9.5 and since j_* is surjective by (9.3), (1) follows. The item (2) follows from 9.1 and [9, Thm. 1.1]. So we only need to show that $\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma_X)$ is divisible. In the light of (2), it suffices to show that $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0}$ is divisible. Since $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0}$ is generated by the images of the maps $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \to \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0}$, where C runs through good curves relative to X, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ is divisible. But this is well known. □

Like the case of smooth schemes, the Roitman torsion theorem for singular schemes has many potential applications. Here, we use this to prove our next main result of this section. It was shown by Levine in [47, Thm. 3.2] that for a smooth projective scheme X of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$, the cycle class map $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to K_0(X)$ (see (6.11)) is injective. We generalize this to singular schemes as follows.

We can in fact say more about this map. Recall that for every closed point $x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, there is a canonical map $K_0(\{x\}) \xrightarrow{\cong} KH^{\{x\}}_0(X) \to KH_0(X)$. Let $F^dKH_0(X)$ denote the subgroup of $KH_0(X)$ generated by the images of these maps for all $x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Theorem 9.18. *Let X be a projective scheme of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the cycle class map $cyc_0 : H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to KH_0(X)$ induces an isomorphism $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \xrightarrow{\cong} F^dKH_0(X)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ is generated by the classes of closed points in X_{reg}, it follows form (9.12) and 9.17 (1) that cyc_0 maps $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ onto $F^dKH_0(X)$. We only need to show that this map is injective.

We now note that $cyc_0 : H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to KH_0(X)$ is induced by the spectral sequences (5.21) and (6.11), both of which degenerate with rational coefficients. In particular, $\mathrm{Ker}(cyc_0)$ is a torsion group.

On the other hand, it follows from (8.7) and 9.1 that the composite map $\widetilde{c}^d_X : H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \xrightarrow{cyc_0} KH_0(X) \xrightarrow{c_{X,0,d}} H^{2d}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups. We must therefore have $\mathrm{Ker}(cyc_0) = 0$. □

10. The motivic cohomology of normal schemes and a theorem of Spiess-Szamuely

In this section, we let k be any algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let $p \geq 1$ denote the exponential characteristic of k. Our goal here is to give improvements of the results of § 9 if the underlying projective scheme is normal. In particular, we give an improvement of the Roitman torsion theorems of Spieß-Szamuely [66] and Geisser [26].

We let X be a projective scheme of dimension d over k and assume that X is normal. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion of an open subscheme of X such that $U \subseteq X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\dim(X \setminus U) \leq d-2$. In particular, U is smooth and projective if $d \leq 1$. Note that unlike the previous sections, we allow U to be properly contained in X_{reg}.

Recall from § 8.8 that there are two competing definitions of the Chow group of 0-cycles on X and there is a canonical surjection $\mathrm{CH}^{LW}_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{BK}_0(X)$ and we used 8.10 to identify these two groups when $k = \mathbb{C}$. To improve the results of § 9, we need to extend this identification to other cases as well. The following will suffice for our purpose.

Lemma 10.1. *Let X be a normal quasi-projective scheme over k. Then the canonical map $\mathrm{CH}^{LW}_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{BK}_0(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We can clearly assume $d \geq 2$ and X is irreducible. Let $\nu : (C, Z) \to (X, X_{\mathrm{sing}})$ be a good curve relative to X. It suffices to show that $\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}^{LW}_0(X)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}$. Since ν is finite, we can find a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{P}^n_X \\ & \overset{i}{\nearrow} & \big\downarrow g \\ C & \xrightarrow[\nu]{} & X, \end{array} \tag{10.2}$$

where i is a closed immersion and g is the canonical projection. Setting $X' = \mathbb{P}^n_X$, we see that X' is normal and $X'_{\mathrm{sing}} = g^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}})$. In particular, $i^{-1}(X'_{\mathrm{sing}}) \subseteq Z$. Since ν is an lci morphism along X_{sing} and g is smooth, it follows that the closed immersion i is regular along X'_{sing}. In particular, $\mathrm{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}^{LW}_0(X')$. We let $U' = g^{-1}(U)$.

Since $\dim(X' \setminus U') \leq n + d - 2$ and $U' \subseteq X'_{\mathrm{reg}}$, it follows from [9, Lem. 2.1] (see also [47, Lem. 1.4]) that there are closed integral curves $C' \subsetneq X'$ such that $C_i \subsetneq U'$ and there are rational functions $f_i \in k(C_i)^{\times}$ such that $\mathrm{div}(f) = \sum_i \mathrm{div}(f_i) \in \mathcal{Z}_0(X')$. If $g(C_i)$ is a point, then clearly $g_*(\mathrm{div}(f_i)) = 0$. So we let $C'_i = g(C_i)$ and assume that the map $C_i \to C'_i$ is finite. Let $N_i : k(C_i)^{\times} \to k(C'_i)^{\times}$ denote the norm map. We then have

$$\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) = g_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) = \sum_i g_*(\mathrm{div}(f_i)) = \sum_i \mathrm{div}(N_i(f_i))$$

and it is clear that $\mathrm{div}(N_i(f_i)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$ for every i. □

As before, we shall denote any of the above Chow groups by $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$. Coming back to our problem, let us now assume that X is a normal projective scheme of dimension d over k with an open $U \subseteq X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ as before. We can assume without loss of generality that X is integral. In this case, it well known that $J^d(X)$ is an abelian variety (also follows from its construction) and it is the Albanese variety of X in the sense of Lang [46, Chap. II]. Recall that this Albanese variety is defined by the universal property for rational maps to abelian varieties. Since every rational map from X to an abelian variety must be defined on a dense open subset of U and since every rational map from U to an abelian variety must be regular, it follows that the map $\alpha_X : J^d(U) \twoheadrightarrow J^d(X)$ is the universal abelian quotient map for the semi-abelian variety $J^d(U)$ (see the proof of 9.5). Recall that $H_0^{sus}(U)_{\deg\ 0}$ and $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\deg\ 0}$ are both quotients of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0}$ by the subgroups $\partial(C_1^{sus}(U))$ and $\mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$, respectively. Since $\dim(X \setminus U) \leq d-2$, we can use [22, Cor. 1.4] and replace $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)_{\deg\ 0}$ by $\mathcal{Z}_0(U)_{\deg\ 0}$.

We also showed in 9.5 that $\partial(C_1^{sus}(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$ for any projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 10.3. *Let X be a normal projective scheme of dimension d over an algebraically closed field k and let $U \subset X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ be a dense open subset such that $\dim(X \setminus U) \leq d-2$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\delta_X : H_0^{sus}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{CH}^d(X). \tag{10.4}$$

If k admits resolution of singularities, there are canonical isomorphisms

$$H_0^{sus}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)). \tag{10.5}$$

Proof. If $d \leq 1$, then U is smooth projective in which case the theorem is well known. We can thus assume that $d \geq 2$. To prove (10.4), we need to show that $\partial(C_1^{sus}(U)) = \mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$. The proof of the inclusion $\partial(C_1^{sus}(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$ is identical to the proof of 9.5. The only fact we used to prove this inclusion was that the map $\mathrm{AJ}_X^{d,Q}$ is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups (see (9.8) and (9.9)). But for X normal projective and k of arbitrary characteristic, this is [45, Thm. 1.6].

We now let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$. We can assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$ by 10.1. Since X is a normal integral scheme and $\dim(X \setminus U) \leq d-2$, we can use [9, Lem. 2.1] to assume that there is an integral closed curve $C \subset X$ with $C \subset U$ and there is a rational function $f \in k(C)^{\times}$ such that $\alpha = \mathrm{div}(f)$. Let $C' \subsetneq C \times \mathbb{P}^1_k \subsetneq X \times \mathbb{P}^1_k$ denote the closure of the graph of f. The projection $C' \to C$ is clearly finite. The projection $p : C' \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ will be constant only when f is a constant invertible function on C. In this case, we have $\mathrm{div}(f) = 0$ so that there is nothing to prove.

We therefore assume that the map $C' \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is dominant, and hence finite (as X is projective). Since $C \subsetneq U$, we see that $C' \subsetneq U \times \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Setting $D = p^{-1}(\square^1_k)$, it follows that D is an integral closed subset of $U \times \square^1_k$ such that the map $p : D \to \square^1_k$ is finite and surjective. In particular, $[D] \in C_1^{sus}(U)$ and $\alpha = \mathrm{div}(f) = \partial([D]) \in \partial(C_1^{sus}(U))$. This proves (10.4).

To prove (10.5), we note that under the resolution of singularities, we can assume that $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is described in terms of the *cdh*-hypercohomology as in 3.1. It follows then from [22, Cor. 1.4] and 8.11 that the map $\gamma_X : \mathcal{Z}_0(U) \to H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ factors through $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$. Note that only input required in this proof is that $\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X) = \mathcal{R}_0^{BK}(X)$. But this follows again from 10.1. Using (10.5), we are only left with proving that the map $H_0^{sus}(U) \cong H_c^{2d}(U, \mathbb{Z}(d)) \to H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ is an isomorphism. Letting $Z = X \setminus U$ and using (9.3), it suffices to show that $H^{2d-1}(Z, \mathbb{Z}(d)) = 0$. But this follows from 6.1 since $\dim(Z) \leq d-2$. □

As a consequence of 10.3, we deduce the following Roitman torsion theorem for smooth quasi-projective schemes. Spieß and Szamuely [66] proved part (2) of this theorem for torsion prime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$ and Geisser [26] generalized their result to all torsion, assuming resolution of singularities (see also [27] for generalizations in non-smooth case). The part (1) is completely new.

Theorem 10.6. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let U be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension d over k. Assume that there is a normal projective scheme X over k containing U as a dense open subset such that* $\dim(X \setminus U) \leq d-2$. *Then the following hold.*

1. *$J^d(U)$ is an abelian variety.*
2. *The Albanese map $\mathrm{AJ}^d_U : H_0^{sus}(U)_{\deg\ 0} \to J^d(U)$ is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.*

Proof. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ be the open inclusion and let $Z = X \setminus U$. We first prove (2). In view of [27, Thm. 1.1], we can assume $\mathrm{char}(k) = p > 0$ and need only prove the isomorphism on the p-primary torsion parts.

We have the following commutative diagram of the p-primary torsion subgroups.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0^{sus}(U)\{p\} & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & \mathrm{CH}^d(X)\{p\} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{AJ}^d_U}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X} \\ J^d(U)\{p\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & J^d(X)\{p\}. \end{array} \tag{10.7}$$

It follows from 10.3 and [45, Thm. 1.6] that δ_X and $\mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X$ are isomorphisms. We have seen above that $J^d(U)$ is an extension of $J^d(X)$ by a torus T over k. Since T has no p-primary torsion in its group of rational points, we get $J^d(U)\{p\} \xrightarrow{\cong} J^d(X)\{p\}$. It follows that AJ^d_U is an isomorphism too.

To prove (1), we only need to show that T is trivial. Since a torus of positive rank has non-trivial prime-to-p torsion, it is equivalent to showing that $T(k)_{\mathrm{tors}} = 0$.

Now, 10.3, [45, Thm. 1.6] and item (2) of the theorem together imply that $\delta_X, \mathrm{AJ}^d_U$ and $\mathrm{AJ}^{d,Q}_X$ are all isomorphisms on the torsion subgroups. In particular, α_X is also an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups. Equivalently, $T(k)_{\mathrm{tors}} = 0$. □

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Shane Kelly for having read an earlier version of this paper and pointing out some missing arguments.

References

[1] M. Asakura, K. Sato, *Chern class and Riemann-Roch theorem for cohomology theory without homotopy invariance*, arXiv:1301.58297v1[math.AG], (2015).

[2] J. Ayoub, *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique I*, Astérisque, **314**, (2007), X+466 pp.

[3] J. Ayoub, *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique II*, Astérisque, **315**, (2008), vi+364 pp.

[4] L. Barbieri-Viale, C. Pedrini, C. Weibel, *Roitman's Theorem for singular Varieties*, Duke Math. J., **84**, (1996), 155–190.

[5] L. Barbieri-Viale, V. Srinivas, *Albanese and Picard 1-motives* , Mémoires de la SMF, **87**, (2001).

[6] L. Barbieri-Viale, B. Kahn, *On the derived category of 1-motives*, arXiv:1009.1900[math.AG], (2010).

[7] F. Binda, A. Krishna, *Zero cycles with modulus and zero cycles on singular varieties*, arXiv:1512.04847[math.AG], (2015).

[8] F. Binda, A. Krishna, *Comparison of some motivic cohomology groups of singular varieties*, In preparation, (2016).

[9] J. Biswas, V. Srinivas, *Roitman's theorem for singular projective varieties*, Comp. Math., **119**, (1999), 213–237.

[10] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory*, Adv. Math., **61**, (1986), 267–304.

[11] S. Bloch, *Torsion algebraic cycles and a theorem of Roitman*, Comp. Math., **39**, (1979), 107–127.
[12] K. S. Brown, S. M. Gersten, *Algebraic K-theory and generalized sheaf cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **341**, Springer-Verlag, (1973).
[13] D. Cisinski, *Descente par éclatements en K-théorie invariante par homotopie*, Ann. of Math., **177**, no. 2, (2013), 425–448.
[14] D.-C. Cisinski, F. Déglise *Triangulated categories of mixed motives*, arXiv:0912.2110v3[math.AG], (2009).
[15] D.-C. Cisinski, F. Déglise *Integral mixed motives in equal characteristic*, Doc. Math., **Extra Volume: Alexander S. Merkurjev's Sixtieth Birthday**, (2015), 145–194.
[16] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, C. Weibel, *K-regularity, cdh-fibrant Hochschild homology, and a conjecture of Vorst*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **21**, (2008), no. 2, 547–561.
[17] S. Dai, M. Levine, *Connective algebraic K-theory*, J. K-Theory, **13**, (2014), no. 1, 9–56.
[18] F. Déglise, *Orientable homotopy modules*, Amer. J. Math., **135**, no. 2, (2013), 519–560.
[19] F. Déglise, *Orientation theory in arithmetic geometry*, arXiv:1111.4203v2[math.AG], (2014).
[20] P. Deligne, *Voevodsky's lectures on motivic cohomology 2000/2001*, In *Algebraic topology*, Abel Symp., **4**, (2009), 355–409. Springer, Berlin.
[21] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge: III*, Publ. Math. IHES, **44**, (1974), 5–77.
[22] H. Esnault, V. Srinivas, E. Viehweg, *The universal regular quotient of the Chow group of points on projective varieties*, Invent. math., **135**, (1999), 595–664.
[23] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Deligne-Beilinson cohomology*, In, "Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions", Persp. Math., Academic Press, Boston, **4**, (1998), 305–372.
[24] E. Friedlander, V. Voevodsky, *Bivariant cycle cohomology*, In "Cycles, Transfers and Motivic Homology Theories", Annals of Math. Stud., **143**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (2000), 138–187.
[25] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second Edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1998).
[26] T. Geisser, *On Suslin's singular homology and cohomology*, Doc. Math., Suslin's 60th birthday extra volume, (2010), 223–249.
[27] T. Geisser, *Roijtman's theorem for normal schemes*, Math. Res. Lett., **22**, (2015), 1129–1144.
[28] H. Gillet, *Riemann-Roch theorems for higher algebraic K-theory*, Adv. Math., **40**, (1981), 203–289.
[29] L. Gruson, M. Raynaud, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité*, Invent. Math., **13**, (1971), 1–89.
[30] C. Haesemeyer, *Descent property of homotopy K-theory*, Duke J. Math., **125**, no. 3, (2004), 589–619.
[31] P. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical surveys and monograph series, **99**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (2002).
[32] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical surveys and monograph series, **63**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1999).
[33] M. Hovey, *Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **165**, (2001), no. 1, 63-127.
[34] M. Hoyois, *From algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology*, J. reine angew. Math., **702**, (2015), 173–226.
[35] M. Hoyois, S. Kelly, P. A. Østvær, *The motivic Steenrod algebra in positive characteristic*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (to appear) ,arXiv:1305.5690v2[math.AG], (2013).
[36] L. Illusie, Y. Laszlo, F. Orgogozo, *Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents*, Astérisque, **363-364**, (2014).
[37] D. Isaksen, *Flasque model structures for simplicial presheaves*, K-Theory, **36**, (2005), 371-395.
[38] J. Jardine, *Generalized étale cohomology*, Progress in Math., **146**, Birkhäuser, (1997).
[39] J. Jardine, *The separable transfer*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **177**, (2003), no. 2, 177–201.
[40] S. Kelly, *Triangulated categories of motives in positive characteristic*, arXiv:1305.5349[math.AG], (2013).
[41] S. Kelly, *Vanishing of negative K-theory in positive characteristic*, Comp. Math., **150**, (2014), 1425–1434.
[42] M. Kerz, *The Gersten conjecture for Milnor K-theory*, Invent. Math., **175**, (2009), 1–33.
[43] A. Krishna, *Zero cycles on singular surfaces*, J. K-Theory, **4**, (2009), no. 1, 101–143.
[44] A. Krishna, *Zero cycles on affine varieties*, arXiv:1511.04221v1[math.AG], (2015).
[45] A. Krishna, V. Srinivas, *Zero cycles and K-theory on normal surfaces*, Ann. of Math., **156**, no. 2, (2002), 155–195.
[46] S. Lang, *Abelian Varieties*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Math., **7**, Interscience Publishers, (1959).
[47] M. Levine, *Zero-cycles and K-theory on singular varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **46**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1987), 451–462.
[48] M. Levine, *The homotopy coniveau tower*, J. Top., **1**, (2008). 217–267.
[49] M. Levine, C. Weibel, *Zero cycles and complete intersections on singular varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **359**, (1985), 106–120.

[50] V. Mallick, *Roitman's theorem for singular projective varieties in arbitrary characteristic*, J. K-Theory **3**, (2009), 501–531.
[51] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, **2**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, (2006) .
[52] J. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Math. Ser., **33**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1980).
[53] F. Morel, $\mathbb{A}^1$ *algebraic topology over a field*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **2052**, Springer-Verlag, (2012).
[54] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky, $\mathbb{A}^1$*-homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. IHES, **90**, (1999), 45–143.
[55] N. Naumann, M. Spitzweck, P. A. Østvær, *Motivic Landweber exactness*, Doc. Math., **14**, (2009), 551–593.
[56] A. Navarro, *Riemann-Roch for homotopy invariant K-theory and Gysin morphisms*, arXiv:1605.00980[math.AG], (2016).
[57] A. Neeman, *The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **9**, (1996), no. 1, 205–236.
[58] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Math. Stud., **148**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (2001).
[59] P. Pelaez, *Multiplicative Properties of the Slice Filtration*, Astérisque, **335**, (2011), xvi+289.
[60] P. Pelaez, *On the functoriality of the slice filtration*, J. K-Theory, **11**, (2013), no. 1, 55–71.
[61] P. Pelaez, *Motivic birational covers and finite filtrations on Chow groups*, arXiv:1410.0102v1[math.AG], (2014).
[62] P. Pelaez, *Mixed motives and motivic birational covers*, arXiv:1605.00717v1[math.AG], (2016).
[63] J. Riou, *Algebraic K-theory,* $\mathbf{A}^1$*-homotopy and Riemann-Roch theorems*, J. Top., **3**, (2010), 229–264.
[64] O. Röndigs, P. A. Østvær, *Modules over motivic cohomology*, Adv. Math., **219**, (2008), 689–727.
[65] J.-P. Serre, *Morphismes universels et variété d' Albanese*, Séminaire Chevalley, exposé **11**, année, (1958/59).
[66] M. Spieß, T. Szamuely, *On the Albanese map for smooth quasi-projective varieties*, Math. Ann., **325**, (2003), 1–17.
[67] M. Spitzweck, P. A. Østvær, *The Bott inverted infinite projective space is homotopy algebraic K-theory*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **41**, (2009), 281–292.
[68] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, *Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math., **123**, (1996), 61–94.
[69] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, *Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients*, in "The Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic cycles", Banff, Canada, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **548**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (2000), 117–189.
[70] R. Thomason, T. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, in 'The Grothendieck Festschrift III', Birkhäuser Boston, (1990), 247–435.
[71] V. Voevodsky, $\mathbb{A}^1$*-homotopy theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, **I**, (Berlin, 1998). Doc. Math., (1998), Extra Vol. I, 579–604.
[72] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **7**, (2002), 351–355.
[73] V. Voevodsky, *Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I*, In, "Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)", Int. Press Lect., **3**, (2002), 3–34.
[74] V. Voevodsky, *Unstable motivic homotopy categories in Nisnevich and cdh-topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **214**, (2010), no. 8, 1399–1406.
[75] V. Voevodsky, *Cancellation theorem*, Doc. Math., **Extra Volume: Andrei Suslin's Sixtieth Birthday**, (2010), 671–685.
[76] V. Voevodsky, *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, Ann. of Math. Stud., **143**, (2000).
[77] C. Weibel, *Homotopy algebraic K-theory*, AMS Contem. Math., **83**, (1988), 461–488.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1 Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai, India.
E-mail address: amal@math.tifr.res.in

Instituto de Matemáticas, Ciudad Universitaria, UNAM, DF 04510, México.
E-mail address: pablo.pelaez@im.unam.mx